

This Journal of Environmental Horticulture article is reproduced with the consent of the Horticultural Research Institute (HRI – <u>www.hriresearch.org</u>), which was established in 1962 as the research and development affiliate of the American Nursery & Landscape Association (ANLA – <u>http://www.anla.org</u>).

HRI's Mission:

To direct, fund, promote and communicate horticultural research, which increases the quality and value of ornamental plants, improves the productivity and profitability of the nursery and landscape industry, and protects and enhances the environment.

The use of any trade name in this article does not imply an endorsement of the equipment, product or process named, nor any criticism of any similar products that are not mentioned.

When seed are stratified, one hour of light is sufficient for optimum germination and some germination occurs in darkness. For optimum germination, a grower may use nonstratified seed and supply three hours light (3), or use stratified seed and supply one hour light. If stratified seed are used, germination occurs to some extent even in darkness.

For practical application, further research into liner, container and field production would be useful to the grower.

Literature Cited

1. Adkins, C.R., L.E. Hinsley, and F.A. Blazich. 1984. Role of stratification, temperature, and light in Fraser fir germination. Can. J. For. Res. 14:88–94.

2. Barnhill, M.A., M. Cunningham, and R.S. Farmer. 1982. Germination characteristics of *Paulownia tomentosa*. Seed Sci. & Tech. 10:217-221.

3. Barton, S.S. and V.P. Bonaminio. 1984. Factors affecting the sexual propagation of sourwood (*Oxydendrum arboreum* (L.) DC.). M.S. Thesis, N.C. State Univ., Raleigh.

4. Cho, M.S., J.H. Jung and D.Y. Yeam. 1981. Studies on seed germination of rhododendron plants. J. Kor. Soc. Hort. Sci. 22:107-120.

5. Downs, R.J. and J.F. Thomas. 1983. Phytotron procedural manual for controlled environment research at the SouthEastern Plant Environment Laboratories. N.C. Agr. Res. Service Tech. Bul. 244 (revised).

6. El-Nabawy S., M. Abou Rewash, A.M. El-Hamady, I. Desonky and F. Khalil. 1980. Changes in growth active substances in pecan seeds as affected by stratification and GA treatments. Egypt. J. Hort. 7:33-43.

7. Fordham, A.J. 1960. Propagation of woody plants by seed. Arnoldia 20:33-40.

8. Hartmann, H.T. and D.E. Kester. 1975. Plant propagation, principles and practices, 4th. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J.

9. Jaynes, R.A. 1971. Seed germination of six Kalmia species. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 96:668-672.

10. Jaynes, R.A. 1971. Selection and propagation of improved Kalmia latifolia cultivars. Proc. Intern. Plant Prop. Soc. 21:366–373.

11. Knox, C.A. and R.H. Smith. 1981. A method for rapid seed germination of pecan. Pecan Quarterly 15(3):23-24.

12. Lockley, G.C. 1980. Germination of chokecherry (p. virginiana) seeds. Seed Sci. & Tech. 8:237-244.

13. Mayer, A.M. and A. Poljakoff-Mayber. 1963. The germination of seeds. The Macmillan Co., N.Y.

14. Olson, D.F. and R.L. Barnes. 1974. Oxydendrum arboreum (L.) DC. sourwood, p. 566-567. In: C.S. Schopmeyer (Tech. Coord.). Seeds of woody plants in the United States. Ag. Handbook 450. U.S. Dept. of Ag. For. Ser., Washington, D.C.

15. Ponders, H.G., R.L. Shumack and C.H. Gilliam. 1981. Liners-the first step in shade tree production. Amer. Nurseryman 153(11):10, 11, 54-64.

16. Stearns, F. and J. Olson. 1958. Interaction of photoperiod and temperature affecting seed germination in *Tsuga canadensis*. Amer. J. Bot. 45:53–58.

Effects of Container Size, Root Pruning, and Fertilization on Growth of Seedling Pecans¹

G.J. Keever, G.S. Cobb, and R. McDaniel²

Department of Horticulture Alabama Agricultural Experiment Station Auburn University, AL 36849

- Abstract –

Seedling pecan top growth was greater in 381 (#10) containers and shallow 191 (#5) containers when compared to deeper 191 (#5) containers and 111 (#3) containers; however, all trees were large enough to bud by July of the first growing season. Root pruning at transplanting did not affect top growth, but increased root branching and total root growth. Increased rates of a complete fertilizer increased root growth, but did not affect top growth.

Index words: Carya illinoinensis, container production, fertility

Introduction

Demand for container-grown pecans (*Carya illinoinensis*) has increased substantially in recent years. Trees are typically produced by budding or grafting the desired cultivar onto 1- or 2-year-old seedlings with at least one additional growing season required before marketing. Although kinked and circling roots occur and production costs are higher, container-grown pecans can be transplanted year round and

¹Received for publication May 30, 1985; in revised form October 28, 1985.

²Assistant Professor, Department of Horticulture; Superintendent, Ornamental Horticulture Substation, Mobile, AL 36608; and Associate Superintendent, Gulf Coast Substation, Fairhope, AL 36532, resp. with greater success than bare root trees (1). In general, root pruning induces branching and creates a more desirable root system although conflicting results have been obtained with pecan (1, 2, 4, 7). Container size and shape have also been shown to influence the growth of several woody species (3). This study evaluated the effects of container size and shape, root pruning, and fertilization rate on growth of pecan seedlings prior to budding with the objective of minimizing the time required to reach the budding stage.

Materials and Methods

Experiment 1. 'Elliott' pecans were stratified for 6 weeks at 6.7° C (44° F) in closed plastic bags filled with moist peat moss. Pecans were sown January 27, 1983, in 3.8 1

(#1) containers using a milled pine bark:sandy loam soil (7:1, by vol) growth medium amended with 3.6 kg (6 lb) dolomitic limestone + 1.2 kg (2 lb) single superphosphate + 1.2 kg (2 lb) gypsum + 0.9 kg (1.5 lb) Micromax per cubic meter (yard). Containers were placed in a heated greenhouse (10° C (50° F) minimum temperature) and after germination, fertilized weekly with 100 ppm N from 20N-8.6P-16.6K (20-20-20) soluble fertilizer. Seedlings were transplanted April 25 into the containers described in Table 1. The milled pine bark-sandy loam soil (10:1, by vol) growth medium was amended as before except that Osmocote 17N-3P-10K (17-7-12) at 7.2 kg/m³ (12 lb/yd³) was added. Plants were placed outside in full sun and irrigated with overhead impact sprinklers. Supplemental N (100 ppm from ammonium nitrate) was applied weekly for 6 weeks. There were 15 single-plant replicates arranged in a completely randomized design.

In experiments 2 and 3, nuts were stratified for 6 weeks and sown February 3, 1984, at a depth twice their width in 3.8 l (#1) containers filled with milled pine bark. Growth medium was amended with 3.6 kg (6 lb) dolomitic limestone, 1.2 kg (2 lb) gypsum, and 0.9 kg (1.5 lb) Micromax per cubic meter (yard). Containers were placed in a heated greenhouse (10° C (50° F) minimum temperature) and, after germination, fertilized weekly with 100 ppm N from 20N-8.6P-16.6K (20-20-20) soluble fertilizer. On May 15, 1984, seedlings were root pruned (tap root pruned 9.0 cm (3.5 in) below the nut), unless otherwise noted (see Experiment 2 below), and transplanted into 191 (#5) containers of milled pine bark-sandy loam soil (10:1, by vol) amended with the same materials and at the same rates as the germination medium. An 18N-3P-8.3K (18-7-10) fertilizer at 100 g/ container (9 lb/yd³) was surface applied 2 weeks later, unless otherwise noted (see Experiment 3 below). Containers were placed outdoors in full sun and drip irrigated. In each experiment, 40 single-plant replicates were arranged in a completely randomized design. Plants were evaluated in September, 1984.

Experiment 2. 'Elliott' pecan seedlings (5.6 g or 0.20 oz/nut) were either root pruned or left unpruned at transplanting.

Experiment 3. An 18N-3P-8.3K (18-7-10) fertilizer was topdressed at 3 rates in 3.8 l (#1) containers of 'Elliott' pecan seedlings (5.6 g/0.20 oz nut) 2 weeks after transplanting. Rates were 100, 135, and 170 g/pot (equivalent to 9, 12, and 15 lb/yd³).

Results and Discussion

Experiment 1. Greatest tree caliper and height occurred in 38 l (#10) and shallow 19 l (#5) containers (Table 1); however, all trees were of sufficient size for budding in July, 1983. Budding was unavoidably delayed, but on August 30, 1983, 'Cheyenne' pecan scion wood was patchbudded onto the 'Elliott' stock. Ninety-six percent of attempted buds were still green 4 weeks after budding with no treatment effect (data not shown). It is not known why seedlings grew more in the shallow 19 l (#5) containers compared to the deeper 19 l (#5) containers; while growth was similar in 11 l (#3) containers of different depths. Shallow containers were more stable during windy conditions. The general trend of greater top growth in larger containers is supported by other research (1, 3, 5) and, in

 Table 1. Effect of container size and shape on caliper and height of 'Elliott' seedling pecans 7 months after sowing.

Container size			
Volume liters (trade)	Dimension	Trunk caliper ^z (mm)	Tree height (cm)
	(width × height, cm)		
38 (#10)	43.2 × 38.1	9.7 a ^y	68.6 a
19 (#5)	33.0×29.8	8.9 a	61.7 a
19 (#5)	22.9×50.8	7.6 b	49.3 Ь
11 (#3)	26.7×22.9	7.4 b	50.3 b
11 (#3)	22.9×38.1	7.1 b	49.3 b

²Caliper measured 20 cm (8 in) above container medium surface. ⁹Mean separation within columns by Duncan's multiple range test, 5% level.

many cases, may be a response to greater fertilizer (where incorporated on a volume basis) or water reserves, or a more temperature-buffered growth medium.

Experiment 2. Root pruning did not affect tree height, caliper, top dry weight, or tap root dry weight (data not shown). However, there was a significant increase in both the number of main roots per tree and the fibrous root dry weight (Table 2). Root growth in the non-pruned treatment resulted in a single tap root, twisted and knotted at a depth corresponding to the bottom of the propagation container. The tap root circled the bottom of the container with secondary and feeder roots developing in greatest numbers toward the distal end. When the tap root was pruned at transplanting, 3-4 main roots developed, all producing secondary and feeder roots. No twisting of the main roots occurred, and there was less root circling in the bottom of the container than with non-pruned treatments (Fig. 1). Davis and Whitcomb (1) observed similar root growth response from pecan seedlings grown in bottomless containers that provided air root pruning compared to conventional containers with bottoms. Other research has also observed improved root growth (2) from root pruning without a reduction in top growth (4). Timing may also be important in determining whether root pruning increases or decreases top growth. Harris et al. (2) reported beneficial effects of root pruning when done early, while later root pruning was detrimental. This may explain the reported reduced growth of root-pruned plants in some studies (7).

Experiment 3. Increasing fertilization rate from 100 g/ container (9 lb/yd³) to 170 g/container (15 lb/yd³) quadratically increased root dry weight (Fig. 2). Fertilization rates above 100 g/container (9 lb/yd³) did not significantly affect tree height, caliper, number of main roots, or top dry weight. Overcash *et al.* (5) reported no change in seedling

Table 2. Effect of root pruning at transplanting on the number of main roots per tree and the fibrous root dry weight of 'Elliott' pecan seedlings.

Treatment	No. main roots/tree	Fibrous root dry weight (g)
Pruned	2.8 a ^z	9.1 a
Unpruned	1.0 b	6.4 b

²Mean separation within columns by Duncan's multiple range test, 5% level.



Fig. 1. Effect of root pruning at transplanting on root growth patterns of seedling pecan. (P = pruned; U = not pruned).



Fig. 2. Influence of fertilization rate on root dry weight of seedling pecan.

fresh weight when Osmocote 18N-2.6P-10K (18-6-12) incorporated at 2.6 kg/m³ (4.4 lb/yd³) was increased to 5.2 kg/m³ (8.8 lb/yd³). Although there was not a top growth response to increased fertilization above 100 g/container (9 lb/yd³), this does not mean that the higher rates were not beneficial, as indicated by the root growth increase. Romberg (6) reported that in the first year, pecan seedlings make very little top growth, in proportion to that of the tap root; but the ratio of root to top decreases in succeeding years. Thus, the greater root growth during the first year would provide more reserves and greater nutrient and water absorption capacity for increasing top growth in subsequent years.

Significance to the Nursery Industry

Container-grown seedling pecans can be sown and budded in one growing season. Since early budding is limited by seedling size this study indicates that shallow containers at least 19 1 (#5) in volume should be used to maximize growth. One year-old budded trees would allow earlier field transplanting which should enhance survival. Root pruning at transplanting can increase branching of the tap root and produce a more desirable root system for subsequent field transplanting without reducing top growth.

Literature Cited

1. Davis, B. and C.E. Whitcomb. 1982. Growing pecan seedlings in container. Okla. State Univ. Res. Rpt. P-829, Stillwater.

2. Harris, R.W., W.B. Davis, N.W. Stice, and D. Long. 1971. Effects of root pruning and time of transplanting in nursery liner production. Calif. Agri. 25(12):8-10.

3. Keever, G.J., G.S. Cobb, and R.B. Reed. 1985. Effects of container dimension and volume on growth of three woody ornamentals. HortScience 20:276-278.

4. Laiche, A.J., W.W. Kilby, and J.P. Overcash. 1983. Root and shoot growth of field- and container-grown pecan nursery trees five years after transplanting. HortScience 18:328-329.

5. Overcash, J.P., M. Acock, D.B. Bostian, and R.C. Sloan. 1983. Research with pecan nursery trees in containers and orchards. Mississippi Agri. Forestry Expt. Stat. Bul. 922. p. 1-10.

6. Romberg, L.D. 1944. Some characteristics of the juvenile and the bearing pecan tree. Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 44:255-259.

7. Smith, C.L. and J. Hamilton. 1937. Some effects of root pruning on transplanted pecan trees. Proc. Texas Pecan Grow. Assoc. p. 15-20.