



This Journal of Environmental Horticulture article is reproduced with the consent of the Horticultural Research Institute (HRI – www.hriresearch.org), which was established in 1962 as the research and development affiliate of the American Nursery & Landscape Association (ANLA – <http://www.anla.org>).

HRI's Mission:

To direct, fund, promote and communicate horticultural research, which increases the quality and value of ornamental plants, improves the productivity and profitability of the nursery and landscape industry, and protects and enhances the environment.

The use of any trade name in this article does not imply an endorsement of the equipment, product or process named, nor any criticism of any similar products that are not mentioned.

11. Schweizer Associates, Inc., Orlando, FL 1982. Project Manual for Holland Law Center Commons Building, Gainesville, FL. Project No. BR-117.

12. Townsend, L.R. 1973. Effect of soil amendments on the growth

and productivity of the highbush blueberry. Can. J. Plant Sci. 53: 571-577.

13. Whitcomb, C.E. 1979. Factors affecting the establishment of urban trees. J. Arboriculture. 5:217-219.

Development of *Lonicera* Cultivars Resistant to the Honeysuckle Aphid (*Hyadaphis tataricae*)¹

H. Pellett, S. Moe, K. Vogel and L. Mainquist^{2,3}

University of Minnesota Landscape Arboretum
3675 Arboretum Drive
Chanhassen, MN 55317

Abstract

Lonicera taxa growing in collections at the University of Minnesota Landscape Arboretum have been evaluated and observations are given for resistance to the honeysuckle witches broom aphid, *Hyadaphis tataricae*. A breeding program is in progress to develop superior resistant cultivars of honeysuckle for landscape use in informal hedge, screen, and windbreak plantings. A resistant selection has been approved for introduction to fill the nursery and landscape needs until better cultivars can be developed.

Index words: *Lonicera*, honeysuckle, resistance, honeysuckle aphid, *Hyadaphis tataricae*

Introduction

The honeysuckle "witches broom" aphid (*Hyadaphis tataricae*) was first described in 1935 from a collection of the insect made near Moscow, U.S.S.R. Since that time it has been seen commonly in eastern Europe. The aphid first entered North America about five to six years ago in Quebec on infested plants from Europe (1). The insect was first observed in the U.S. by a horticulturist in Lake County, Illinois, in 1979. This infestation may have been introduced by imported infested plants from Canada, or directly from Europe. Since 1979, the insect has established itself in much of the midwest.

The aphids are found on upper sides of leaves that fold longitudinally to enclose the insects, making chemical control difficult. Witches brooms are formed due to a reduction in shoot elongation and a proliferation of bud break. Scattered flowers may form on these areas. These stems will eventually die. Reports from Europe indicate that recurring infestation can even cause the death of the plant. This insect is found only on the genus *Lonicera*, and the eggs apparently overwinter on the brooms.

Materials and Methods

Soon after the discovery of *Hyadaphis tataricae* in Minnesota in 1981, a study was initiated to identify

Lonicera taxa possessing resistance to this pest. Notes were taken on the occurrence of witches brooms on the various species and cultivars growing in collections at the University of Minnesota Landscape Arboretum.

Using this resistant germplasm a breeding program was initiated in 1982 to develop resistant cultivars suitable for informal hedge, screen, or windbreak plantings to replace *Lonicera korolkowii* 'Zabelii' and *Lonicera tatarica*. The objective is to incorporate aphid resistance with the rosy red flower color and showy red fruit of Zabel honeysuckle on a vigorous, free branching plant.

To speed up evaluation of large seedling populations, seedlings from crosses are grown and screened in the greenhouse. During the winter when populations of hybrid seedlings reach 5-8 cm (2-3 in) in height, dormant infested plants are moved to the same greenhouse bench for forcing. Aphid populations develop rapidly on the surrounding susceptible seedling plants and the typical witches brooms develop on the terminal growth. Susceptible plants are discarded at this stage. Those without symptoms are field planted in the spring for additional testing and selection for desired plant form and aesthetic qualities.

Results and Discussion

Table 1 gives data on aphid resistance of honeysuckles observed in our collections as well as observations from other locations (1, 2, 3, 4). Many plants in the collections have not been verified as to accuracy of identification so some error could exist. Honeysuckles within a complex often cross readily when grown in close proximity. Thus hybrids often exist when plants have been propagated by seed. This hybrid origin and resulting inaccuracy in identity may account for the variation in resistance reported for a given species.

An individual, inaccurately named plant possessing

¹Received for publication January 2, 1985; in revised form March 15, 1985. Scientific Journal Series #14268 of the Minnesota Agricultural Experiment Station. This research was supported in part by a grant from the Horticultural Research Institute, 1250 I Street, N.W., Suite 500, Washington, DC 20005.

²Professor, Assistant Scientist, Research Plot Supervisor, and Jr. Scientist, resp.

³Present address: 1135 Raleigh Road, Glenview, IL 60025.

Table 1. Honeysuckle aphid infestation observed at the University of Minnesota Landscape Arboretum (MLA) and reported at other locations on *Lonicera* taxa.

Taxa	Witches brooms observed at MLA	literature reports	literature reference
<i>L. alpigena</i> 'Nana'	no		
<i>L. x amoena</i>		susceptible	2
<i>L. x amoena</i> 'Alba'		resistant	3
<i>L. x bella</i>	yes	resistant	1
		susceptible	2,4
<i>L. x bella</i> 'Albida'	yes		
<i>L. x bella</i> 'Atrorosea'	yes		
<i>L. x bella</i> 'Dropmore'	yes	low damage	1
<i>L. caerulea</i>	no	resistant	1
<i>L. caerulea</i> var. <i>edulis</i>	no	resistant	1
<i>L. caerulea</i> var. <i>viridifolia</i>		resistant	1
<i>L. chrysantha</i>	yes	resistant	1
<i>L. conjugialis</i>		susceptible	2
<i>L. discolor</i>	yes		
<i>L. ferdinandii</i>	no		
<i>L. insularis</i>	yes		
<i>L. korolkowii</i> var. <i>floribunda</i>	no	no damage	1
<i>L. korolkowii</i> 'Zabelii'	yes	heavy damage	1
<i>L. ledebourii</i>		low damage	1
<i>L. maackii</i>		no damage	1
<i>L. maackii</i> var. <i>podocarpa</i>	yes		
<i>L. maximowiczii</i>		low damage	1
<i>L. maximowiczii</i> var. <i>sachalinensis</i>	no		
<i>L. x minutiflora</i>	yes	low damage	1
		susceptible	2,4
<i>L. morrowii</i>		no damage	1
		susceptible	2
<i>L. x muendeniense</i>		resistant	3
		susceptible	2,4
<i>L. x muendeniense</i> var. <i>xanthocarpa</i>		low damage	1
<i>L. x muscaviensis</i>		susceptible	4
<i>L. x notha</i>	yes	resistant	3
<i>L. orientalis</i>	yes	low damage	1
<i>L. reflexa</i>	yes		
<i>L. ruprechtiana</i>	yes	susceptible	2
<i>L. rupicola</i>	yes		
<i>L. syringantha</i>	no	low damage	1
<i>L. tatarica</i>	yes	susceptible	2,4
<i>L. tatarica</i> 'Alboresea'	yes		
<i>L. tatarica</i> 'Angustifolia'	yes	low damage	1
<i>L. tatarica</i> 'Arnold Red'	no	low damage	1
		resistant	3
<i>L. tatarica</i> 'Beavermor		low damage	1
<i>L. tatarica</i> 'Grandiflora'		heavy damage	1
<i>L. tatarica</i> 'Morden Orange'	yes	resistant	1
<i>L. tatarica</i> 'Nana'	yes		
<i>L. tatarica</i> 'Rosea'		heavy damage	1
<i>L. tatarica</i> 'Rubra'		low damage	1
<i>L. tatarica</i> var. <i>salicifolia</i>		low damage	1
<i>L. tatarica</i> 'Virginalis'	yes		
<i>L. tatarica</i> 'Wheeling'	yes		
<i>L. tatarinovii</i>		low damage	1
<i>L. vesicaria</i>	no		
<i>L. x xylosteoides</i>		resistant	3
<i>L. x xylosteoides</i> 'Clavey's Dwarf'	no		
<i>L. xylosteum</i>	no	low damage	1
<i>L. xylosteum</i> 'Emerald Mound'	no		
<i>L. xylosteum</i> 'Hedge King'	no		

resistance to the honeysuckle aphid and having a form satisfactory for screen plantings was found in our collections. This plant will be named and introduced to serve as a substitute for Zabel and Tatarian honeysuckle until a better selection can be developed or identified. The plant is most closely related to *Lonicera korolkowii*, but is probably of hybrid origin. It has blue-green foliage, red berries, and white flowers with a pink tinge. Although this plant has not been tested widely, in-

troduction will proceed because of the serious aphid damage on honeysuckle taxa presently available in the nursery trade. Plants were distributed for planting in the spring of 1984 to cooperators in several areas of Minnesota and surrounding states for evaluation. Limited field performance data will be available when the plants are ready for distribution by cooperating production nurseries (probably in the spring of 1986). Propagation material has been provided to nurseries cooperating in

the Minnesota Nurserymen's Research Corporation royalty program.

Lonicera tatarica 'Arnold's Red' and the selection described above have produced a number of resistant offspring when crossed with each other and with *Lonicera korolkowii* 'Zabelii.' Many of these resistant offspring should flower in spring of 1985. Selection for flower color can occur and they can also be used as parents to produce subsequent generations.

Significance to the Nursery Industry

Lonicera is a particularly important plant to the nursery industry in the midwest where honeysuckle is commonly used for informal hedge, screen and wind-break plantings. Zabel and Tatarian honeysuckle are the most widely used honeysuckles and both are very susceptible to the honeysuckle aphid. A resistant cultivar with suitable plant form and aesthetic qualities is needed

to replace susceptible clones in nursery inventories. Observations indicate that resistant germplasm is available. Results of early crossing efforts indicate that resistance is readily transmitted to a large number of offspring. Insufficient data is available to determine mode of inheritance.

Literature Cited

1. Boisvert, J., C. Cloutier, and J. McNeil. 1981. *Hyadaphis tataricae* (Homoptera: Aphididae), a pest of the honeysuckle new to North America. Can. Entom. 113:415-418.
2. Cummings, B. 1981. Honeysuckle aphid spreads like wildfire. Plants and the Landscape. Cooperative Extension Service. Purdue Univ., West Lafayette, Indiana. 4(4): 22-24.
3. Nixon, P. *Hyadaphis tataricae*. Personal correspondence.
4. Voegtlin, D. 1982. The distribution and spread of *Hyadaphis tataricae* (Homoptera: Aphididae) in the north-central states with notes on its hosts, biology, and origin. Great Lakes Entom. 15: 147-151.

Effect of Seedling Size and Transplant Bed Density on Performance of Eastern Hemlock Planting Stock¹

L. Eric Hinesley²

Department of Horticultural Science

P.O. Box 7609

North Carolina State University

Raleigh, NC 27695

Abstract

After grading two-year-old Eastern hemlock (*Tsuga canadensis* (L.) Carr.) seedlings by height, performance was examined for one year under various transplant bed densities, and for 2 years in the field. In the nursery, average diameter growth and dry matter production were influenced by bed density; height growth was not. A transplant bed density of 65 plants/m² (6/ft²) resulted in the greatest average diameter and dry weight, but densities up to 151 plants/m² (14/ft²) yielded more usable transplants per unit of nursery bed. Although there were residual effects of transplant bed density, the major differences in growth after 2 growing seasons in the field were due to initial seedling size. Transplants originating from large seedlings outperformed those from small seedlings. Compared to small seedlings, larger plants require less time in transplant beds, are less troublesome to maintain in the field, and reach marketable size in fewer years, all of which increase their value relative to small seedlings.

Index words: *Tsuga canadensis*, Canadian Hemlock, transplanting

Introduction

Many factors affect the performance of plants in seedbeds, transplant beds and the field. Nursery bed density and seedling grade are two of the most important. In general, average plant size increases as bed density decreases (14), and the percent yield of usable seed-

lings is frequently higher at lower bed densities (9). Average size may occasionally decrease at extremely wide spacings if other factor(s) become limiting (13). Extremely low densities are not economical owing to the excessive nursery area required to produce a large crop. Thus, selection of optimum bed density (density which produces the maximum number of usable seedlings per unit area) often represents a compromise between the logistical problems of managing a nursery and the biological capabilities of the crop plant (9).

Seedling grade or quality is important, but there is no single parameter which adequately assesses quality (2). The easiest to measure are height and stem diameter, which are often well-correlated with later growth in the field (5, 14). Physiological indices of quality include root regeneration potential (11), nutrient status (14)

¹Received for publication July 17, 1984; in revised form March 20, 1985. Paper No. 9137 of the Journal Series of the N.C. Agricultural Research Service, Raleigh, NC 27695. The author gratefully acknowledges the Tennessee Valley Authority for providing seedling planting stock and other supplies. Technical assistance of Mr. Layne Snelling and Mr. Joe Conner is also appreciated. The planting site and routine maintenance were provided by the Fowler brothers in Cashiers, North Carolina.

²Associate Professor of Horticulture.