

This Journal of Environmental Horticulture article is reproduced with the consent of the Horticultural Research Institute (HRI – <u>www.hriresearch.org</u>), which was established in 1962 as the research and development affiliate of the American Nursery & Landscape Association (ANLA – <u>http://www.anla.org</u>).

HRI's Mission:

To direct, fund, promote and communicate horticultural research, which increases the quality and value of ornamental plants, improves the productivity and profitability of the nursery and landscape industry, and protects and enhances the environment.

The use of any trade name in this article does not imply an endorsement of the equipment, product or process named, nor any criticism of any similar products that are not mentioned.

Sethoxydim (Poast) and Oxyfluorfen (Goal) Efficacy and Tolerance by Landscape Plants¹

C. Benjamin Coffman,² J. Ray Frank,³ and Walter A. Gentner²

Agricultural Research Service U.S. Department of Agriculture Beltsville Agricultural Research Center Beltsville, Maryland 20705

Abstract

Granular Goal (oxyfluorfen) [2-chloro-1-(3-ethoxy-4-nitrophenoxy)-4-(tri-fluoromethyl)benzene] at 4.5 kg/ha (4.0 lb/A) was applied to 8 species of woody landscape plants alone or with a following postemergence application of Poast (sethoxydim) [2-(1-(ethoxyimino)butyl)-5-(2-(ethylthio)propyl)-3-hydroxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one] at 0.6 or 1.1 kg/ha (0.5 or 1.0 lb/A). Sethoxydim was applied with and without crop oil (1%, v/v). These treatments were made one time in 1981 and 1982. Applications of Poast (sethoxydim) at 0.6 kg/ka (0.5 lb/A) with or without crop oil controlled barnyardgrass [*Echinochloa crusgalli* (L.) Beauv.], giant foxtail (*Setaria faberi* Herrm.), and large crabgrass [*Digitaria sanguinalis* (L.) Scop.]. Goal (oxyfluorfen) alone at 4.5 kg/ha (4.0 lb/A) reduced the rate of estasblishment of the perennial broadleaf weeds Canada thistle [*Cirsium arvense* (L.) Cyrillo] and white heath aster (*Aster pilosus* Willd.). Up to 86% reduction in total weed cover was obtained when Goal (oxyfluorfen) application was followed by Poast (sethoxydim). Crop quality was not affected by any herbicide treatments.

Index words: Postemergence herbicide, granular herbicide, grass control

Introduction

Nursery and landscape plant species are grown for aesthetic and utilitarian purposes. Optimum growth and appearance requires adequate weed control. Presently used methods of weed control are time-consuming, expensive, and often cause damage to ground cover and other landscape plantings.

Goal has been investigated for weed control in woody ornamentals. Ahrens and Cubanski (1) reported control of broadleaf weeds in conifer seedbeds with 0.5 kg/ha (0.44 lb/A) Goal. Frank, et al. (7) reported significantly larger azalea (Rhododendron sp.) plants in plots treated with 2.2 and 4.5 kg/ha (2.0 and 4.0 lb/A) Goal than those in control plots. Creager (6) reported that 4 months of weed control were obtained with Goal at 4.5 and 9.0 kg/ha (4.0 and 8.0 lb/A) in 4 species of container-grown woody landscape plants with little or no injury. Goal inadequately controlled grass weeds (5). Poast is a recently developed herbicide for postemergence grass control. Chow (3) reported excellent postemergence control of wild oat (Avena fatua L.) in flax (Linum usitatissimum L.) with Poast at 0.3 kg/ha (0.26 lb/A). Quackgrass [Agropyron repens (L.) Beauv.] growth was reduced with 0.6 kg/ha (0.5 lb/A) Poast (10). Grass weeds were controlled by Poast in containergrown nursery plants with little to no plant injury (2). Selected weed and turf grasses have been controlled by Poast applications of 1.1 kg/ha (1.0 lb/A) (4), whereas 8 nursery and landscape species tolerated postemergence applications up to 4.4 kg/ha (4.0 lb/A) (4).

Field studies were established in 1981 at Frederick and Beltsville, MD, to evaluate the herbicidal efficacy of

²Research Agronomist, Research Horticulturist, and Plant Physiologist, resp.

³ARS-USDA, Fort Detrick, Bldg. 1301, Frederick, MD 21701.

Goal, alone or followed by Poast with and without crop oil, and the tolerance of 8 selected nursery and landscape species to these treatments.

Materials and Methods

This field research was conducted at Frederick and Beltsville, Maryland in 1981 and 1982. The soils were classified as Duffield silt loam (Ultic Hapludalf) at Frederick, and Keyport silt loam (Aquic Hapludult) at Beltsville. Experimental fields at both locations were clean cultivated prior to transplanting 1 to 3-yr-old nursery stock in May and June of 1981. Two plants each of the following six species were planted on 1.2 m (3 ft) centers in each plot: Andorra juniper (Juniperus horizontalis 'Plumosa' Rehd.), low-fast cotoneaster (Cotoneaster dammerii Schneid.), Hicks yew (Taxus media 'Hicksii' Rehd.), hinocrimson azalea (Rhododendron x Hinocrimson), crownvetch (Coronilla varia L.), and periwinkle (Vinca minor L.). In addition, four plants each of Japanese spurge (Pachysandra terminalis Sieb. and Zucc.) and English ivy (Hedera helix L.) were planted in pairs in each plot on 1.2 m (3 ft) centers. The experimental design was a randomized complete block with three replications at both locations. Plots were 3x11 m (10x36 ft). Pre-plant fertilization was adequate for good plant growth and irrigation was provided as needed. Weeds were hand-pulled as needed around each test plant for approximately 0.25 m (0.8 ft) to enhance plant survival.

Herbicide treatments were as follows: Goal 2%granular was applied with a hand held spinner spreader at 4.5 kg/ha (4.0 lb/A) alone or with a following treatment of Poast at 0.6 or 1.1 kg/ha (0.5 or 1.0 lb/A) alone or in combination with crop oil concentrate at 1% (v/v). Poast was also applied at both rates without a preceding Goal application. Goal was broadcast 12 days after transplanting (DAT), June 8, 1981, and 28 DAT, July 10, 1981 at Frederick and Beltsville, respectively. Plots

Received for publication March 21, 1984; in revised form June 4, 1984.

were weed free at Frederick, but those at Beltsville contained common purslane [Portulaca oleracea (L.)] when Goal was applied. Poast was applied with a bicycle mounted boom sprayer in 374 L/ha (40 g/A) using flatfan nozzles. Application dates were June 16, 1981 and July 16, 1981 at Frederick and Beltsville, respectively. The soil surface was moist at Frederick when Goal was applied and 0.25 cm (0.1 in) of rainfall occurred the following day. Rain (1.90 cm (0.75 in)] occurred 11 days after Goal was applied to a dry soil surface at the Beltsville site. In 1982, the soil surfaces at both locations were dry when Goal was applied to weed-free plots on May 19. Within 24 hours after Goal applications, 1.27 cm and 0.13 cm (0.5 and 0.05 in) of rainfall occurred at Beltsville and Frederick, respectively. Poast was applied as in 1981, on June 16 and June 30, 1982, at Frederick and Beltsville, respectively. In both years and locations, Poast was applied when wind velocity was less than 2.6 km/hr (1.6 mph) on dew-moist leaf surfaces. Grasses were 10 to 20 cm (4 to 8 in) tall at Frederick in 1981 and 1982 and Beltsville in 1982 when Poast was applied. In 1981, weedy grasses were 25 to 45 cm (10 to 18 in) tall at Beltsville when Poast was applied.

Percent weed cover was estimated several times during each growing season. Thirteen broadleaf weeds, 5 grasses, and yellow nutsedge were recognized as the major weed species at one or more locations (Table 1), and their percentage of total weed cover was estimated throughout each growing season. Test plants were rated on a scale of 0 to 10, with 10 being plant death. Values greater than three reflected nonmarketable plants. Plant height and width measurements were used to document plant growth.

Percent weed control was obtained by comparing weed cover in treatment plots with weed cover in untreated control plots.

Results and Discussion

Percent weed cover. Seasonal increases in weed cover occurred at both sites. However, the nature of the biomass was a function of the treatment. The presence of common purslane prior to Goal treatments in plots at Beltsville in 1981 confounded the interpretation of broadleaf weed control data for that site. All treatments of Goal followed by Poast resulted in end of season mean weed cover of 2% due to annual grasses at both locations and 33% due to broadleafs at Frederick. Weed cover was less in plots treated with Goal followed by Poast than in plots treated with either herbicide alone (Table 2). Untreated control and Goal only plots were rapidly dominated by annual grasses. Plots that did not receive Goal, but were treated with Poast, were dominated by annual and perennial broadleafed species. Control of annual broadleafs and grasses such as common lambsquarters, redroot pigweed, and giant foxtail by Goal followed by Poast permitted the gradual establishment of perennial broadleafs. In 1981 and 1982 at Frederick, perennial broadleafs such as Canada thistle and white heath aster were the dominant flora (mean ground cover, 19%) in plots where annual species competition had been reduced by Poast and Goal treatments. On similarly treated plots at Beltsville, perennial broadleafs were only 5% of the ground cover in 1982; however, by the spring of 1983, perennial broadleaf species had increased their contribution by at least one third to the weed flora at Beltsville.

Weed species controlled. One application of Poast (Table 2) provided up to 100% control of grasses for the entire growing season in 1981 and 1982. Lesser control was related to grass seed germination after herbicide application. The addition of crop oil or increase in application rate from 0.6 to 1.1 kg/ha (0.5 to 1.0 lb/A) of Poast did not improve grass control. Kuhns et al. (9) reported that crop oil, when used with 0.6 kg/ha (0.5 lb/A) of Poast, did not enhance grass control. Hartzler and Foy (8) reported no increases in activity on large crabgrass (*Digitaria sanguinalis* (L.) Scop.) when an adjuvant was added to 0.3 or 0.6 kg/ha (0.26 or 0.50 lb/A) of Poast, although significant increases in activity were observed when adjuvants were applied with lower rates of Poast.

Large crabgrass, giant foxtail, barnyardgrass, and fall panicum were not satisfactorily controlled by applications of Goal without Poast. Annual broadleaf weeds were generally well controlled (up to 100%) at Frederick by preemergence applications of Goal plus perennial broadleaf competition. Although some perennial broadleaf weed control was noted, Canada thistle and white heath aster gradually became established as dominant weeds.

Table 1	Major weed species in experimental	plats at Beltsville and Frederick	1081 and 1087 z
1 ADIC 1.	Major weed species in experimental	pious at pensyine and frequence.	1701 4110 1704.

Dicots	Monocots
Broadleaf dock (F) Rumex obtusifolius L. Canada thistle (F) Cirsium arvense (L.) Scop. Common lambsquarters (B,F) Chenopodium album L. Common purslane (B,F) Portulaca oleracea L. Common ragweed (F) Ambrosia artemisiifolia L. Curly dock (F) Rumex crispus L. Horseweed (F) Conyza canadensis (L.) Cronq. Mustard (B,F) Barbarea vulgaris R. Br. Redroot pigweed (B,F) Amaranthus retroflexus L. Rough fleabane (B,F) Erigeron strigosus Muhl. Smallflower galinsoga (B) Galinsoga parviflora Cav. Velvetleaf (B,F) Abutilon theophrasti Medic. White heath aster (B,F) Aster pilosus Willd.	Barnyardgrass (B) Echinochloa crus-galli (L.) Beauv. Fall panicum (B,F) Panicum dichotomiflorum Michx. Giant foxtail (B,F) Setaria faberi Herrm. Large Crabgrass (B,F) Digitaria sanguinalis (L.) Scop. Stinkgrass (B) Eragrostis cilianensis (All.) Lutati Yellow nutsedge (B,F) Cyperus esculentus L.

^z(B) Beltsville; (F) Frederick

Table 2. Mean weed cover and percent control of grass and broadleaf weed	eaf weeds. ^z	broadleaf	and	grass	of	control	percent	and	cover	weed	Mean	able 2.	,
--	-------------------------	-----------	-----	-------	----	---------	---------	-----	-------	------	------	---------	---

					Belt	sville ^y			Frederick ^y						
				1981 1982 1981					1982						
Treatment ^x		Rate	WC ^w	AG ^v	BL ^v	WC	AG	BL	wc	AG	BL	WC	AG	BL	
	kg/ha	(lb/A)						970)						
Poast	0.6 1.1	(0.5) (1.0)	100ns 98	100a 100a	8b 0b	75ab 68ab	77ab 63b	6c 30abc	67ab 19a	99a 100a	0c 0c	56a-e 68abc	97a 90a	0c 0c	
Poast plus crop oil	0.6 1.1	(0.5) (1.0)	98 93	100a 100a	0b 2b	73ab 73ab	78ab 91ab	5c 0c	84ab 97a	98a 100a	0c 0c	74ab 80a	100a 100a	Oc Oc	
Goal	4.5	(4.0)	100	0d	73a	100a	0c	81a	97a	1c	100a	64a-d	54b	19bc	
Goal followed by Poast	4.5 0.6	(4.0) (0.5)	98	88b	8b	23c	98a	66ab	49bcd	98a	47b	36def	91a	55ab	
	4.5 1.1	(4.0) (1.0)	95	97a	2b	32bc	98a	48ab	16d	99a	81ab	16f	1 00a	77a	
Goal followed by Poast plus crop oil	4.5 0.6	(4.0) (0.5)	98	100a	2b	40bc	99a	45abc	38cd	97a	63ab	32ef	99a	54ab	
	4.5 1.1	(4.0) (1.0)	98	97a	0b	50bc	100a	29bc	16d	100a	82ab	14f	100a	80a	
Goal followed by crop oil	4.5	(4.0)	100	9c	58a	100a	0c	64ab	70abc	29Ъ	87a	31ef	82ab	32bc	

²Values followed by same letter within columns are not significantly different at 5% level using Duncan's New Multiple Range Test. Percent control based on comparison with untreated check.

⁹Beltsville values based on data obtained Sept. 14, 1981, and Oct. 15, 1982; Frederick values based on data obtained Oct. 8, 1981, and Sept. 15, 1982.

^xCrop oil supplied by BASF Wyandotte Corporation with sethoxydim; 85% paraffin base petroleum oil and 15% surfactant blend; crop oil concentrate at 1% v/v in sethoxydim treatments. ^wWC = % weed cover

^vAG = % control annual grasses; BL = % control all broadleafed weeds; no perennial grasses observed during the study.

Landscape plant size. There were no differences in plant sizes attributed to herbicide treatments (data not shown). In general, plant size differences reflected initial size differences, field variations, and faunal disturbances. There were no significant injuries to any nursery and landscape species attributed to treatments. All plants were of saleable quality.

Significance to the Nursery Industry

We believe the nursery industry will benefit from the results of this study. Eight species of nursery and landscape plants were shown to tolerate various application rates of Poast and 2% granular Goal that significantly reduced grass and broadleaf weed cover with single and sequential applications. Use of this combination of herbicides should result in better utilization of labor as well as increased numbers of market quality plants.

(Ed. Note: This paper reports the results of research only, and does not imply registration of a pesticide under amended FIFRA. Before using any of the products mentioned in this research paper, be certain of their registration by appropriate state and/or federal authorities.)

Literature Cited

1. Ahrens, J.F., and M. Cubanski. 1982. Herbicides for seedbeds of evergreens. Proc. Northeast Weed Sci. Soc. 36:246-247 (Abstract).

2. Bing, A. 1983. The effect of crop oil, sethoxydim, fluazifopbutyl, Dow 453, and CGA-82725 applied to growing nursery plants in containers and in the field for postemergence grass control. Proc. Northeast Weed Sci. Soc. 37:319-321.

3. Chow, P.N.P. 1983. Herbicide mixtures containing BAS 9052 for weed control in flax (*Linum usitatissimum*). Weed Sci. 31:20-22.

4. Coffman, C.B., W.A. Gentner, and J.R. Frank. 1981. Grass control in selected perennial groundcovers with new herbicides BAS 9052 and KK 80. Proc. Northeast Weed Sci. Soc. 35:261 (Abstract).

5. Coffman, C.F., J.R. Frank, and W.A. Gentner. 1983. Sethoxydim and oxyfluorfen combinations for control of weeds in plantings of selected ornamentals and groundcovers. Proc. Weed Sci. Soc. Amer. p. 38 (Abstract).

6. Creager, R.A. 1982. A comparison of oxyfluorfen and oryzalin in container-grown woody ornamentals. HortScience 17:207-209.

7. Frank, J.R., C.E. Beste, and H.J. Langeler. 1981. Weed control for field-grown azaleas. Proc. Weed Sci. Soc. Amer. p. 40 (Abstract).

8. Hartzler, R.G., and C.L. Foy. 1983. Efficacy of three postemergence grass herbicides for soybeans. Weed Sci. 31:557-561.

9. Kuhns, L.J., G. Twerdok, and C. Haramaki. 1983. Pre- and post-emergence herbicides used in seedlings of black locust. Proc. Northeast Weed Sci. Soc. 37:301 (Abstract).

10. Peters, R.A. 1982. Regulation of perennial grass in alfalfa stands with Poast, Fusilade, and Dowco 453 MF. Proc. Ann. Meeting, Amer. Soc. Agron. p. 126 (Abstract).