

This Journal of Environmental Horticulture article is reproduced with the consent of the Horticultural Research Institute (HRI – <u>www.hriresearch.org</u>), which was established in 1962 as the research and development affiliate of the American Nursery & Landscape Association (ANLA – <u>http://www.anla.org</u>).

HRI's Mission:

To direct, fund, promote and communicate horticultural research, which increases the quality and value of ornamental plants, improves the productivity and profitability of the nursery and landscape industry, and protects and enhances the environment.

The use of any trade name in this article does not imply an endorsement of the equipment, product or process named, nor any criticism of any similar products that are not mentioned.

Literature Cited

1. Benson, D.M. and F.D. Cochran. 1980. Resistance of evergreen hybrid azaleas to root rot caused by *Phytophthora cinnamomi*. Plant Dis. 64:214-215.

2. Blaker, N.S. and J.D. McDonald. 1981. Predisposing effects of soil moisture extremes on the susceptibility of rhododendron to Phytophthora root and crown rot. Phytopathology 71:831-834.

3. Blum, U. and D.T. Tingey. 1977. A study of the potential ways in which ozone could reduce root growth and nodulation of soybean. Atmospheric Environ. 11:737-739.

4. Davis, D.D. and J.B. Coppolino. 1974. Relative ozone susceptibility of selected woody ornamentals. HortScience 9:537-539.

5. Gesalman, C.M. and D.D. Davis. 1978. Ozone susceptibility of ten azalea cultivars as related to stomatal frequency or conductance. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 103:489-491.

6. Heagle, A.S. 1982. Interactions between air pollutants and parasitic plant diseases. p. 333-348. *In:* M.H. Unsworth and D.P. Ormrod (eds). Effects of Gaseous Air Pollution in Agriculture and Horticulture. Butterworth Scientific, Boston, MA.

7. Heck, W.W., R.B. Philbeck and J.A. Dunning. 1978. A continuous stirred tank reactor (CSTR) system for exposing plants to gaseous air contaminants: Principles, specifications, construction and operation. Agricultural Research Series 181. U.S. Gov't Printing Office, Wash., DC.

8. Hoitink, H.A.J. and A.F. Schmitthenner. 1974. Relative prevalence and virulence of *Phytophthora* species involved in rhodo-dendron root rot. Phytopathology 64:1371-1374.

9. Hoitink, H.A.J. and A.F. Schmitthenner. 1975. Resistance of rhododendron species and hybrids to Phytophthora root rot. Quarterly Bull. Amer. Rhododendron Soc. 29:37-41.

10. James, R.L., F.W. Cobb, Jr., P.R. Miller and J.R. Parmeter, Jr. 1980. Effects of oxidant air pollution on susceptibility of pine roots to *Fomes annosus*. Phytopathology 70:560-563.

11. Jensen, K.F. 1981. Ozone fumigation decreased the root carbohydrate content and dry weight of green ash seedlings. Environ. Pollut. (Series A). 26:147-152.

12. Kochhar, M., R.A. Reinert and U. Blum. 1982. Effects of fescue *Festuca arundinacea* and/or clover *Tribolium repens* debris and fescue leaf leachate on clover as modified by ozone and *Rhizoctonia solani*. Environ. Pollut. (Series A) 28:255-264.

13. Lambe, R.C., W.H. Wills and L.A. Bower. 1979. Control of Phytophthora root rot of azalea with a new systemic fungicide. SNA Nursery Res. J. 6:1-7.

14. Lee, F.P. 1965. The Azalea Book. D. Van Nostrad, Princeton, N.J.

15. McCool, P.M., J.A. Menge and O.C. Taylor. 1979. Effects of ozone and HCl on the development of the mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus fasciculatus* and growth of 'Troyer' citrange. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 104:151-154.

16. National Research Council. 1976. Ozone and Other Photochemical Oxidants. Volume 1. National Academy of Sciences, Washington, DC.

17. Sanders, J.S. and R.A. Reinert. 1982. Screening azalea cultivars for sensitivity to nitrogen dioxide, sulfur dioxide, and ozone alone and in mixtures. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 107:87-90.

18. Tingey, D.T., R.G. Wilhour and C. Standley. 1976. The effect of chronic ozone exposures on the metabolite content of ponderosa pine seedlings. Forest Sci. 22:234-241.

19. Wood, F.A. 1973. Air pollution induced diseases in woody ornamentals and their control. p. 3-14. *In:* D.F. Millikan (ed). Proc. First Woody Ornamental Disease Workshop. Univ. Missouri-Columbia.

20. Zentmyer, G.A. 1980. *Phytophthora cinnamomi* and the diseases it causes. Monograph No. 10, Amer. Phytopathol. Soc. St. Paul, MN.

Survey of Insecticide and Miticide Usage by 158 Nurseries in Pennsylvania¹

David J. Shetlar² and Paul R. Heller³

Department of Entomology The Pennsylvania State University University Park, PA 16802

-Abstract -

Of 254 nurserymen surveyed in 7 Pennsylvania counties in 1979, 158 responded. Respondents used 3719.5 kg (8184 lb) of insecticides/miticides with an estimated cost of \$64,436. They applied an average of 2.5 kg (2.2 lb/a) ai/ha. Seven pesticides accounted for 88.7% of the 29 pesticides used. The nurserymen tended to use low to moderate toxicity chemicals (96%); 74% used power spray equipment and 47% used wetting agents. The most commonly reported pests are listed.

Index words: Nursery pests, insecticides, miticides, spray equipment, pesticide cost

Introduction

Pesticide usage by non-agronomic industries is difficult to assess but sorely needed to determine current and

'Received for publication September 21, 1983; in revised form November 18, 1983.

²Currently Director, P.E.S.T. Co., Bellefonte, PA 16823.

³Assistant Professor of Entomology.

future decisions on research efforts, state and federal regulations, and industry voids (1). Estimates are available on the amount of pesticides manufactured in the United States (9, 10, 11), but minimal information exists on how these pesticides are distributed and used. Since knowledge of pesticide utilization by urbanites is minimal and reasonable estimates are difficult to make (3, 4, 12), we selected the nursery industry to survey for pesticide usage. This industry provides landscape plants for the urban environment, and if pest-free nursery and landscape crops were available, some urban pest problems may be retarded or at least minimized. Nursery pesticide usage information will also provide a basis for comparisons of pesticides used in other crops.

Pennsylvania produces wholesale nursery stock on approximately 5,191 ha (12,822 a) by 933 nurseries and produced a 1981 wholesale crop worth about \$135,000,000 (5). This production is similar to the surrounding states (New York, New Jersey, and Ohio) which have a combined land usage of 9,762 ha (24,112 a). These states have 3,607 nurseries which produced a wholesale crop worth about \$347,000,000. Nurseries in these states should have pesticide usage similar to those surveyed.

Methods and Materials

A survey was undertaken in 1979 to determine insecticide and miticide usage by 254 nurseries located in seven Southeastern Pennsylvania counties (Berks, Bucks, Chester, Delaware, Lancaster, Lehigh and Montgomery). These nurseries were selected from a list provided by the Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Plant Industries.

Nurserymen were visited and asked the following questions:

1. Which insecticide and/or miticide formulations were applied and how much of each was used during the season?

2. Which formulations and rates caused damage to the host plants?

3. What type of equipment was used to apply the pesticides?

4. Was a wetting agent used?

5. Was the pesticide(s) used for prevention of a pest or when the pest was actively feeding on the host plant?

6. What pests were present and which formulations were directed against these pests?

7. What size was the nursery?

The surveyer filled out a tabular survey sheet during the interview. The nurserymen were assured anonymity by destroying their names after the forms were received.

Three nursery suppliers were contacted and wholesale prices for different insecticide/miticide formulations were obtained in order to estimate total costs.

Results and Discussion

Of the 254 nurserymen contacted, 158 (62%) agreed to respond. All products were totaled and converted from formulation amoung to kg (lb) of active ingredient (kg ai). The proprietary products, formulation, amount used, kg (lb) ai used, and costs for pesticides are presented in Table 1. The nurserymen used 29 different insecticides/miticides in 50 formulations in 1979. This amounted to a total of 3719.5 kg (8183.7 lb) ai costing an estimated value of \$64,432.80.

The formulations were converted into their pesticide components and the number of nurseries using a pesticide, total kg (lb) ai used, average kg (lb) ai used per nursery, kg (lb) ai used for prevention (pest not present), and the LD_{50} (8) for each pesticide are presented in Table 2. Seven of the pesticides (carbaryl, diazinon, dicofol, dimethoate, Isotox, lindane, and malathion) accounted for 3322 kg (2956 lb) ai (88.7%) of the total used. Nurserymen used 23 pesticides for preventive control. This accounted for 1250.5 kg (2751.1 lb) ai (33.4%) (not including oil) of the total used. If these preventive sprays could be reduced or eliminated by proper monitoring, pesticide usage could be cut by one-third.

A 1981 survey by the American Association of Nurserymen (AAN) (2) produced a usage list of insecticides/ miticides which agrees closely with this survey. In fact, in both surveys, the top seven pesticides used by nurserymen were the same except that orthene was higher in the AAN survey than Isotox. This would seem reasonable considering the marketing push for orthene subsequent to the 1979 survey.

Nurserymen used mostly products in toxicity categories II and III ($LD_{50} > 500 \text{ mg/kg}$), 2209.5 kg (4860.9 lb) ai (59.4%) and $LD_{50} < 500$, > 50 mg/kg), 1265.6 kg (278.4 lb) ai (34%). The most toxic products $LD_{50} \le 50$ mg/kg) accounted for only 149.8 kg (329.6 lb) ai (4.0%) of the total used. Of the products used, four (chlordane, DDT, endrin, parathion) are not currently labelled for the areas applied. This only accounts for 168.4 kg (370.5 lb) ai (4.5%) of the total used.

In order to assess the effect of nursery size on amount of pesticides used, nurseries were divided into three size categories related to the number of hectares (acres) under cultivation. The nursery categories, number of nurseries in each category, ha (a) used, kg (lb) used, and average kg/ha (lb/a) are presented in Table 3. The small and large nurseries had similar pesticide usage characteristics, 2.1 (1.9 lb) and 2.0 kg (1.8 lb) ai/ha (a) respectively. The medium sized nurseries used considerably more pesticides per ha (a), 5.5 kg (4.9 lb) ai/ha (a). This may mean that small nurseries are either labor intensive operations or too marginal to afford pesticides. Larger nurseries may be able to afford the expertise to provide decisions as to when control actions are needed.

An average of 2.5 kg (2.2 lb) ai/ha (a) of insecticides/ miticides were used by the nurserymen at a cost of \$43.33/ha (\$17.54/a). This is approximately half the cost incurred by Pennsylvania's apple growers, \$97.49/ ha (\$39.46/a) (7).

Most nurserymen use some kind of power spraying equipment as 97 (26%) applications were made by hand while 282 (74%) were with power equipment. About half of the applications [177 (47%)] included a wetting agent.

The identity and frequency of insects and mites reported by the nurserymen are listed in Table 4. Because certain pests were not specifically identified, the following groups are listed according to feeding types: lepidopterous foliage feeders = 85 reports; mites = 81 reports; coleopterous (beetle) foliage feeders = 58 reports; borers = 31 reports. By including the identified pests, it appears that the 10 most commonly controlled pests of nurseries in southeastern Pennsylvania are: lepidopterous foliage feeders, mites, leafminers, coleopterous foliage feeders, spruce gall adelgids, aphids, scales, lacebugs, borers, and whiteflies. These account for 87.9% of all pests reported.

The ranking of pests controlled by nurserymen is much like the most common arthropod pests found on nursery crops in Maryland communities (6). In an integrated pest management program (IPM) on landscape plantings, the most frequently encountered pests were: (in order of decreasing occurrence) lacebugs, mites, aphids, bagworms, scale insects, Japanese beetle, borers, leafminers, spittlebug and weevils. The only major differences were the nurserymen's spraying for spruce gall adelgids and whiteflies while the Maryland IPM program gave more attention to spittlebugs and weevils. This indicates that more research should be

directed towards management of these few important pests, both for the nursery industry and urban pest managers.

Significance to the Nursery Industry

The nursery industry is periodically accused of over usage and improper application of insecticides. A survey of insecticide and miticide usage on small,

Table 1.	Insecticide and miticide formulations us	y 158 nurserymen in Pennsylvani	ia and estimated costs. ²
----------	--	---------------------------------	--------------------------------------

Trade Name	Formulation	Amount Used	Kg (lb) Used)	Cost/Unit	Total Cost
Blackleaf 40 (nicotine)	EC	1.9 l (0.5 gal)	0.5 (1.0)	11.10/1	\$ 21.09
Chlordane	4 EC	103.1 l (27 gal)	109.0 (240)	9.24/1	953.01
	50 WP	45.4 kg (100 lb)	22.7 (50)	14.41/kg	654.36
Cygon (dimethoate)	2 EC	792.9 l (209 gal)	190.0 (418)	5.39/1	4,273.62
DDT	50 WP	6.8 kg (15 lb)	3.4 (7.5)	9.90/kg ^x	67.32
Diazinon	12.5 EC	1.0 l (.25 gal)	0.2 (0.5)	5.31/1	5.04
	4 EC	128.1 l (34 gal)	61.4 (136)	9.06/1	1,160.76
	25 E	1286.4 l (340 gal)	616.6 (1360)	9.06/1	11,654.51
	50 W	189.6 kg (417 lb)	94.8 (208.5)	9.77/kg	1,852.01
	14 G	45.4 kg (100 lb)	6.4 (14)	4.18/kg	189.60
Dieldrin	4 EC	18.9 l (5 gal)	9.1 (20)	7.96/l ^x	150.68
Dipel ^y	WP	5.8 kg (13 lb)		22.22/kg	129.54
Di-Syston (disulfoton)	15 G	11.3 kg (25 lb)	1.7 (3.8)	2.95/kg	33.48
Endrin	2 EC	11.4 l (3 gal)	2.7 (6)	5.28/1	59.98
Guthion	2 EC	7.6 l (2 gal)	1.8 (4)	7.76/1	58.74
(azinphos-methyl)	50 WP	4.8 kg (10.5 lb)	2.4 (5.3)	12.80 kg	60.94
Imidan (phosmet)	50 WP	26.1 kg (57.4 lb)	13.0 (28.7)	6.41/kg	167.54
Isotox ^w .	EC	581.4 l (153.5 gal)	71.0 (156.3)	2.84/1	1,651.12
Kelthane (dicofol)	18.5 EC	121.3 l (32 gal)	23.3 (51)	4.78/1	579.86
	35 WP	351.5 kg (795.4 lb)	123.0 (270.7)	9.13/kg	3,209.56
Lindane	1.8 EC	591.2 l (156 gal)	127.5 (280.6)	7.68/1	4,540.73
	25 WP	13.6 kg (30 lb)	3.4 (7.5)	10.67/kg	145.22
	35 WP	2.3 kg (5 lb)	0.8 (1.8)	14.96/kg	33.96
	75 WP	4.5 kg (10 lb)	3.4 (7.5)	31.90/kg	144.83
Malathion	5 EC	21.2 l (5.5 gal)	12.7 (27.5)	5.73/1	121.48
	9.7 EC	1.9 l (0.5 gal)	2.2 (4.8)	6.47/1	12.23
	57 EC	1178.1 l (311 gal)	564.7 (1242)	5.73/1	6,750.40
	25 WP	417.6 kg (918 lb)	104.4 (230)	2.55/kg	1,065.72
	50 WP	206.6 kg (454.5 lb)	103.3 (227.3)	4.84/kg	999.94
Metasystox-R	2 EC	10.6 l (2.95 gal)	2.5 (5.5)	8.78/1	93.07
(oxydemeton-methyl)				
Methoxychlor	2 EC	4.2 l (1.1 gal)	1.0 (2.2)	3.80/1	15.81
•	50 WP	9.1 kg (20 lb)	4.5 (10)	8.69/kg	78.82
Oil		1193.2 l (315 gal)		1.06/1	1,264.81
Oil + Ethion		49.6 l (13 gal)	0.9 (2)	1.72/1	85.28
Orthene (acephate)	spray	31.2 l (3.25 gal)	5.6 (12.3)	2.30/1	71.83
	75 SP	29.6 kg (65 lb)	22.2 (48.8)	18.70/kg	553.52
Parathion	8 EC	3.8 1 (1.0 gal)	3.6 (8)	6.50/1	24.64
Pentac (dienochlor)	50 WP	0.5 kg (1 lb)	0.2 (95)	53.90/kg	24.25
Pirimor (pirimicarb)	50 WP	22.7 kg (50 lb)	11.3 (25)	49.92/kg	1,132.14
Plictran (cyhexatin)	50 WP	3.6 kg (8 lb)	1.8 (4)	43.96/kg	159.56
Sevin (carbaryl)	4 F	760.0 l (200.5 gal)	364.3 (802)	7.03/1	5,343.01
	50 W	1022.4 kg (2249.4 lb)	511.2 (1124.7)	4.73/kg	4,836.14
	80 S	381.4 kg (340 lb)	305.1 (672)	7.00/kg	2,667.99
Sevimol 4 (carbaryl)		60.6 l (16 gal)	29.0 (64)	6.22/1	376.68
Spectricide (diazinon)		22.7 l (6 gal)	3.2 (7)	1.76/kg	39.92
Systox (demeton)	6 EC	3.8 l (1.0 gal)	2.7 (6)	10.96/1	41.54
Temik (aldicarb)	10 G	2.5 kg (5.5 lb)	0.3 (0.6)	4.18/kg	10.41
Thiodan (dneosulfan)	50 WP	204.1 kg (449 lb)	102.1 (224.5)	11.11/kg	2,267.77
Vendex (fenbutatin oxide)	50 WP	90.8 kg (200 lb)	45.4 (100)	44.00/kg	3,996.52
Zectran (mexacarbate)	2 EC	113.6 l (30 gal)	27.2 (60)	5.30/l ^x	601.82
Totals			3719.5 (8183.7)		\$64,432.80

^zAverage cost taken from three quotations.

^yBiological control agent, Bacillus thuringiensis.

"Mixture ot 5% carbaryl, 5% oxdemeton-methyl, 2% dicofol by weight.

*Estimated from old catalogue prices.

Table 2. Summary of insecticide and miticide usage by 158 nurseries in seven southeastern Pennsylvania counties.

Pesticide	# Nurseries Using and (% of total)	Total Kg (lb) Used	X kg (lb) used/nursery ^z	Kg (lb) used to prevent	LD ₅₀ u (mg/kg)
Acephate (Orthene)	9 (5.7)	27.8 (61.1)	[3.1] (6.8)	4.76 (10.5)	866.0
Aldicarb (Temik)	2 (1.3)	0.3 (0.6)	[0.1] (0.3)	0.00 (0.0)	0.8
Azinphos-methyl (Guthion)	4 (2.5)	4.2 (9.3)	[1.1] (2.3)	2.38 (5.2)	11.0
Bacillus thuringinesis ^y (Dipel)	1 (0.6)		[——]	1.18 (2.6)	
Carbaryl (Sevin)	80 (50.6)	1209.7 (2795.7)	15.1 (34.9)	199.47 (439)	500.0
Chlordane	8 (5.1)	131.7 (290)	[19.9] (36.3)	22.68 (50)	250.0
Cyhexatin (Plictran)	3 (1.9)	1.8 (4)	[0.6] (1.3)	0.68 (1.5)	540.0
DDT	1 (0.6)	3.4 (7.5)	[3.4] (7.5)	0.00 (0.0)	113.0
Demeton (Systox)	1 (0.6)	2.7 (6)	[2.7] (6)	2.72 (6)	65.0
Diazinon	33 (20.9)	782.6 (1721.8)	23.7 (52.2)	167.66 (369)	300.0
Dicofol (Kelthane)	30 (19.0)	146.3 (321.7)	4.9 (10.7)	14.74 (32.4)	684.0
Dieldren (HEOD)	2 (1.3)	9.1 (20)	[4.5] (10)	4.54 (10)	46.0
Dienochlor (Pentac)	1 (0.6)	0.2(0.5)	0.2 (0.5)	0.00 (40)	3160.0
Dimethoate (Cygon)	34 (21.5)	190.0 (418)	5.6 (12.3)	169.08 (372)	225.0
Disulfoton (Di-Syston)	2(1.3)	1.7 (3.8)	[0.9] (1.9)	0.00 (40)	2.6
Endosulfan (Thiodan)	1 (0.6)	102.1 (224.5)	[102.1] (224.5)	102.06 (224.5)	42.0
Endrin	1 (0.6)	2.7 (6)	[2.7] (6)	2.72 (6)	7.0
Fenbutatin-oxide (Vendex)	2 (1.3)	45.4 (100)	[22.7] (50)	45.36 (100)	2630.0
Isotox ^x	19 (12.0)	71.0 (156.3)	3.7 (8.2)	26.81 (59)	
Lindane	28 (17.7)	135.1 (297.3)	4.8 (10.6)	57.68 (127)	88.0
Malathion	80 (50.6)	787.4 (1732.2)	9.8 (21.7)	395.35 (870)	1375.0
Methoxychlor	4 (2.5)	5.5 (12.2)	[1.4] (3.1)	0.45 (1.0)	6000.0
Mexacarbate (Zetran)	1 (0.6)	27.2 (60)	[27.2] (60)	27.22 (60)	35.0
Nicotine	1 (0.6)	0.5 (1.0)	0.5 (1.0)	0.00	100.0
Oil	15 (9.5)		[]	1080.05 1	
Oil + Ethion	2 (1.3)	0.9 (2)	i——i	45.421	
Oxydemeton-methyl (Metasystox-R)	8 (5.1)	2.5 (5.5)	[0.3] (0.7)	1.59 (3.5)	65.0
Parathion	1 (0.6)	3.6 (8)	[3.6] (8)	0.00 (0.0)	3.0
Phosmet (Imidan)	3 (1.9)	13.0 (28.7)	[4.4] (9.6)	0.99 (2.2)	216.0
Pirimicarb (Pirimor)	1 (0.6)	11.3 (25)	[11.3] (25)	0.00 (0.0)	147.0
Totals		3719.7 (8183.7) ^w		1250.5 (2751.1) ^v	

^zAverages in brackets were used by less than 10% of the total nurseries.

^yBiological control agent.

^xMixture of 5% carbaryl, 5% oxydemeton-methyl, 2% dicofol by weight.

"Excluding oil and Bacillus thuringiensis.

 $^{v}Excluding oil and oil + ethion.$

^uAccording to Thomson, 1981.

Nursery Category		Number andTotal ha (a) usedTotal Kg (lb)(% total)and (% of total)used	% of total a.i. used	X Kg (lb) per ha		
I (0.1 to 2.0 ha) (0.1 to 5.0 a)	80	(50.6%)	77.2 (190.5) (5.2%)	163.7 (360.0)	4.4	2.2 (1.9)
II (2.1 to 8.1 ha) (5.0 to 20 a)	45	(28.5%)	195.8 (483.7) (13.2%)	1067.5 (2348.5)	28.7	5.5 (4.9)
III (8.1 ha)	33	(20.9%)	1214.1 (2999) (81.6%)	2488.3 (5474.2)	66.9	2.1 (1.8)
Totals	158		1487.1 (3673.2)	3719.5 (8182.7)		2.5 (2.2)

medium and large nurseries in Pennsylvania is considered to be exemplary of the Northeast (New York, New Jersey and Ohio).

Nurserymen used 29 different insecticides/miticides in 50 formulations in 1979. However, 7 pesticides (carbaryl, diazinon, dicofol, dimethoate, Isotox, lindane and malathion) accounted for 88.7% of the total used. Only 4% of the pesticides used were in the highly toxic category, and only 4.5% of the pesticides used were not currently registered for application to landscape or nursery crops.

Nurserymen used an average of 2.2 lbs of active ingredients per acre; this is less than half the usage of the fruit and turfgrass industry. However, 33.4% of this

Table 4. Identification and frequency of insect and mite pests reported by 158 southeastern Pennsylvania nurseries.

Pest	#reports	Pest	# reports	
1. Leaf miners	62	22. White pine weevil	4	
2. Japanese beetle	48	23. Codling moth	3	
3. Mites (general)	46	24. Nantucket pine tip moth	3	
4. Spruce gall adelgids	46	25. Ants	3	
5. Aphids (general)	44	26. Leafhoppers	3	
6. Scales	39	27. Inchworms	3	
7. Lacebugs	39	28. Cankerworms	3	
8. Caterpillars (general)	37	29. Flies ^y	2	
9. Red spider mites	35	30. Pales weevil	2	
10. Borers	22	31. Zimmerman pine moth	2	
11. Whiteflies	16	32. Mealybugs	2	
12. Bagworms	14	33. Cottonwood leaf beetle	2	
13. Sawflies	11	34. Bark beetles	2	
14. Tent caterpillars	11	35. Spittlebugs	1	
15. Gypsy moth	11	36. Slugs ^z	1	
16. Leafrollers	9	37. Yellow jackets	1	
17. Weevils (general)	8	38. Azalea gall midge	1	
18. Black vine weevil	8	39. Beetles	1	
19. Woolly aphids	8	40. Budworm	1	
20. Webworms	7	41. Chinch bug ^y	1	
21. Midges	7	42. Armyworm ^y	1	

^yunspecified crop, possibly not nursery crop pest

²Mollusca: Stylommatophora.

usage was applied as preventive sprays and may be significantly reduced through proper sampling and monitoring of pests.

The most common pests controlled by nurserymen (87.9%) were found to be the same as those deemed in need of control by a 1982 Maryland IPM program. This indicates that more information on the biology and management of these few common pests is needed to better serve the industry and consumer.

Literature Cited

1. Anonymous. 1980. Urban Pest Management. Report by: Committee on urban pest management, Natl. Acad. of Sci. Natl. Acad. Press, Washington, DC.

2. Anonymous. 1982. 1981 survey of pesticide uses in nursery production. American Assoc. Nurserymen, Washington, DC. 13 pp.

3. Bottrell, D.R. 1979. Integrated Pest Management. Council on Environmental Quality, Washington, DC.

4. Frankie, G.W. and C.S. Koehler. (Eds.) 1978. Perspectives in Urban Entomology. Academic Press, Inc., NY.

5. Gammell, W.A. 1981. Nursery business 100: The wholesale nursery industry—1981. Nursery Business 26(9): 47-62.

6. Hellman, J.L., J.A. Davidson and J. Holmes. 1982. Urban ornamental and turfgrass integrated pest management in Maryland, pp. 31-38. *In* H.D. Niemczyk and B.G. Joyner (Eds). Advances in Turfgrass Entomology. Hammer Graphics, Inc., Piqua, OH.

7. Hull, L.A., K.D. Hickey and W.W. Kanour. 1983. Pesticide usage patterns and associated pest damage in commercial apple orchards of Pennsylvania. J. Econ. Entom. 76:577-583.

8. Thomson, W.T. 1982. Agricultural Chemicals—Book I, Insecticides, Acaracides, and Ovicides. 1982-83 Revision. Thomson Publications, Fresno, CA.

9. U.S. Department of Agriculture. 1978. Farmer's use of pesticides in 1976. Economics, Statistics and Cooperatives Service, Washington, DC. Agric. Economic Rept. #418.

10. U.S. Department of Agriculture. 1978. The pesticide review 1977. Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, Washington, DC.

11. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1979. Pesticide industry sales and usage, 1979 market estimates. Office of Pesticide Programs. Economic Analysis Branch, Washington, DC.

12. Von Rumker, R., R.M. Matter, D.P. Clement, and F.K. Erickson. 1972. The use of pesticides in suburban homes and gardens and their impact on the aquatic environment. EPA Pesticide Study Series, No. 2, Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water Programs, Applied Technology Division, Rural Wastes Branch, Washington, DC.