

This Journal of Environmental Horticulture article is reproduced with the consent of the Horticultural Research Institute (HRI – <u>www.hriresearch.org</u>), which was established in 1962 as the research and development affiliate of the American Nursery & Landscape Association (ANLA – <u>http://www.anla.org</u>).

# HRI's Mission:

To direct, fund, promote and communicate horticultural research, which increases the quality and value of ornamental plants, improves the productivity and profitability of the nursery and landscape industry, and protects and enhances the environment.

The use of any trade name in this article does not imply an endorsement of the equipment, product or process named, nor any criticism of any similar products that are not mentioned.

# Screening Buddleia Cultivars for Acute Ozone Sensitivity<sup>1</sup>

Douglas A. Findley<sup>2</sup>, Gary J. Keever<sup>3</sup>, Arthur H. Chappelka<sup>4</sup>, Charles H. Gilliam<sup>3</sup>, and D. Joseph Eakes<sup>5</sup>

Department of Horticulture, Auburn University, Auburn, AL 36849

### - Abstract -

Eight cultivars of buddleia were exposed to ozone  $(O_3)$  concentrations up to 375 ppb for two 4-hour periods on consecutive days in 1995. Visible injury to all cultivars consisted of small, discrete spots, reddish purple in color, on the adaxial leaf surface. Cultivars differed in sensitivity to acute  $O_3$  exposure with 'Empire Blue' and 'Opera' being the most tolerant and 'Black Knight', 'Nanho Blue', 'Pink Delight', and 'Royal Red' the most sensitive. Severe injury occurred on the most sensitive cultivars with  $O_3$  exposures of 250 or 375 ppb. At the highest  $O_3$  concentration, the severity index (SI), an indicator of foliar injury, ranged from 1.3 (less injury) for 'Opera' to 4.6 (more injury) for 'Pink Delight'. An exposure-response study with 'Black Knight' resulted in visible injury increasing as both concentration and number of exposures increased, with the most severe injury to plants given the most exposures (5 days) to the highest concentration (375 ppb, SI of 6.3).

Index words: tropospheric ozone, air pollution.

Species used in this study: Butterfly bush (Buddleia davidii Franch. 'Black Knight', 'Charming Summer', 'Empire Blue', 'Opera', 'Pink Delight', and 'Royal Red', B. fallowiana Balf. 'Lochinch', and B. davidii nanhoensis (Chitt.) Rehd. 'Nanho Blue').

#### Significance to the Nursery Industry

Buddleia has enjoyed a resurgence in popularity in recent years due to the introduction of numerous cultivars. Foliage of these cultivars varies in sensitivity to acute ozone  $(O_3)$ exposure with 'Black Knight', 'Nanho Blue', 'Pink Delight', and 'Royal Red' being more sensitive than 'Empire Blue' or 'Opera'. At concentrations currently found in urban areas of the southeastern United States, injury from acute  $O_3$  exposure would be relatively minor and should not preclude the use of buddleia in the landscape. However, as previously reported (4), foliar injury from chronic  $O_3$  exposure may occur under ambient conditions with certain buddleia cultivars.

#### Introduction

Ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) was identified as a significant phytotoxic air pollutant during the 1950s (17) and has progressively become a major air pollutant across the United States. Normally associated with urban areas with large numbers of automobiles, tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> is readily transported long distances to non-urban or rural areas (23). The major effects of O<sub>3</sub> on terrestrial vegetation include visible injury, and reductions in growth, productivity, and plant quality (16). Ozone concentrations  $\geq$  120 ppb exceed EPA National Ambient Air Quality Standards (23).

Visible injury from acute O<sub>3</sub> exposures, *i.e.* exposure to high concentrations for short periods of time, has been observed on a number of landscape plants in the northeastern United States including azalea (*Rhododendron* spp. L.), sweet mock-orange (*Philadelphus coronarius* L.), tea viburnum (*Viburnum setigerum* Hance), spreading cotoneaster (*Cotoneaster divaricata* Rehd. & E.H. Wils.), and multiflora rose (*Rosa multiflora* Thunb.) (2, 3). Studies involving agronomic crops such as tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.) and pinto bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) have reported that the amount of vis-

ible injury is a function of both exposure duration and  $O_3$  concentration with increasing injury as either duration of exposure or concentration increases (9, 14).

Cultivar differences in sensitivity to O<sub>2</sub> have been reported in azalea (7), trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides* Michx.) (12), and white pine (Pinus strobus L.) (6). In a screening of landscape plants common in the southeastern United States to chronic ozone exposure, cultivar differences were observed in buddliea (Buddleia spp.) (4). Chronic O<sub>2</sub> effects are caused by exposure to frequent, relatively low hourly concentrations, with periodic random, intermittent peaks of relatively high hourly concentrations on one or more days, as opposed to acute effects caused by exposure to high hourly concentrations for short durations (15). Sensitivity to chronic and acute O<sub>2</sub> exposures are not necessarily correlated (21). Based on these results and the fact that even minor foliar injury can make a plant undesirable or unmarketable, the objectives of this study were to elucidate differences among buddleia cultivars in sensitivity to acute concentrations of O<sub>2</sub> and to determine the relative importance of both O<sub>3</sub> concentration and number of exposures in visual symptom development.

#### **Materials and Methods**

Cultivar sensitivity. Rooted cuttings of eight buddleia cultivars (Buddleia davidii Franch. 'Black Knight', 'Charming Summer', 'Empire Blue', 'Opera', 'Pink Delight', 'Royal Red', B. fallowiana Balf. 'Lochinch', and B. davidii nanhoensis (Chitt.) Rehd. 'Nanho Blue') were transplanted into 10.2 cm (4 in) square pots containing a pine bark:sand medium (7:1 by vol) in August 1995. The medium was amended per m<sup>3</sup> (yd<sup>3</sup>) with 4.7 kg (8 lb) 22N-2.6P-11.6K (Polyon 22-6-14, Pursell Industries, Sylacauga, AL), 0.9 kg (1.5 lb) Micromax (The Scotts Company, Marysville, OH), and 3.0 kg (5 lb) dolomitic limestone. Plants were grown under 47% light exclusion and irrigated by overhead rotary nozzles twice daily for 30 minutes per application. Mean ambient O<sub>3</sub> concentrations in July and August 1995 were 33 and 30 ppb, respectively.

Plants were selected for uniformity and placed into one of four blocks in September 1995. Within each block two plants per cultivar were assigned to one of four  $O_3$  treatments: 0,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Received for publication on March 3, 1997; in revised form May 19, 1997. <sup>2</sup>Graduate Research Assistant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Professor of Horticulture.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Associate Professor of Forest Biology, School of Forestry.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Associate Professor of Horticulture.

125, 250, or 375 ppb. Thus for each cultivar, eight plants were exposed to each  $O_3$  concentration. Plants were exposed to the assigned  $O_3$  treatment for 4 hours on two consecutive days in continuously stirred tank reactors (CSTRs) located within a walk-in growth chamber (9).

Air was filtered through activated carbon prior to adding O<sub>3</sub> to help maintain uniform O<sub>3</sub> concentrations within the CSTRs. Ozone was generated by passing pure oxygen through a high intensity electrical discharge source (Ozone Research and Equipment Corporation, Phoenix, AZ), and O<sub>3</sub> concentrations were monitored continuously during exposures with a UV Photometric Ozone Analyzer (Thermo Environ. Instruments, Inc., Hopkinton, MA). Photosynthetic photon flux at canopy level within the CSTRs was 520 ± 12 µmol·m<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>. Temperature and relative humidity during the exposures were 27C ± 2C (80.6F ± 3.6F) and 75% ± 3%, respectively. Due to only four CSTRs being available, treatments were replicated over time for a total of four blocks.

Plants were watered before and after each exposure period to avoid drought stress. After exposure, plants were returned to the shade structure and evaluated two and seven days later for percentage of the leaves injured (PLI) and leaf area injured. Leaf area injured was rated for those leaves exhibiting visible injury using the Horsfall-Barratt foliar injury scale (H-B rating) (10) with 1 = 0, 2 = 1-3, 3 = 3-6, 4 =6-12, 5 = 12-25, 6 = 25-50, 7 = 50-75, 8 = 75-87, 9 = 87-94, 10 = 94-97, 11 = 97-99, and 12 = 100% of the leaf area injured. A severity index (SI), similar to the one described by Davis and Coppolino (1), was developed by multiplying  $(PLI / 100) \times (H-B rating) \times (percentage of the population)$ injured / 100). The range for SI is 0-12 with 12 occurring when the entire leaf area of every plant treated is injured  $(1.00 \times 12 \times 1.00)$ . The SI was utilized to estimate overall ozone injury.

*Exposure response*. A second experiment investigated the effects of both  $O_3$  concentration and number of exposures. 'Black Knight' was exposed to  $O_3$  concentrations of 0, 125, 250, or 375 ppb for one to five days for 4 hours daily. Conditions were similar to those in the previous experiment with four-node cuttings taken in August 1995 and  $O_3$  exposures initiated in September 1995 under similar environmental conditions. Plants were selected for uniformity and placed

into one of three blocks. Within each block, two plants were assigned to each  $O_3$  concentration and exposure number combination. Thus, six plants were exposed to each  $O_3$  concentration and exposure number combination. Plants were evaluated for PLI and H-B rating two and seven days after final exposure, and a SI was calculated.

Statistical analyses. A randomized complete block design with four blocks (replicated over time) containing two plants/ cultivar/ozone treatment was used to test for ozone treatment effects. The second study was a four × five factorial experiment (four concentrations × five exposure numbers) arranged in a randomized complete block design. All data were arcsin transformed prior to performing analysis of variance (ANOVA), using the SAS General Linear Model procedure, and Duncan's multiple range test to compare cultivars. Linear regression was used to test O<sub>3</sub> concentration and exposure number, and single degree of freedom contrasts were used to compare specific exposures (22).

# **Results and Discussion**

*Cultivar sensitivity.* The most common  $O_3$  injury symptom was stippling of the upper leaf surface, which consisted of numerous small, discrete spots reddish-purple in color. At  $O_3$  concentrations of 250 and 375 ppb, visible injury was observed on both the oldest and most recently matured leaves. Visible injury was observed under all  $O_3$  concentrations except the control for all cultivars except 'Charming Summer' and 'Lochinch' in which visible injury was only observed under the two higher concentrations (250 and 375 ppb).

An ozone treatment × cultivar interaction was significant for the SI (Table 1). When exposed to 125 ppb  $O_3$ , 'Black Knight', 'Opera', and 'Royal Red' had the highest SI, 0.03, 0.02, and 0.02, respectively, while the other five cultivars had SI of 0.005 or less. Although injury at 125 ppb was minor, these concentrations of  $O_3$  have been recorded in urban areas of the southeastern United States during the summer (13), indicating a potential for injury under ambient conditions.

The SI ranged from 0.20 for 'Charming Summer' to 1.23 for 'Royal Red' when plants were exposed to  $O_3$  concentrations of 250 ppb. Visible injury detected at this concentra-

Ozone concn. (ppb)	Cultivar							
	Black Knight	Charming Summer	Empire Blue	Lochinch	Nanho Blue	Opera	Pink Delight	Royal Red
0	0.0a <sup>y</sup>	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a
125	0.03a	0.0b	0.002b	0.0b	0.005ь	0.02a	0.001b	0.02a
250	1.08a	0.20c	0.44bc	0.80abc	0.75abc	0.27bc	0.83ab	1.23a
375	3.65abc	2.65cd	2.07de	3.27bc	3.62abc	1.28e	4.56a	3.95ab
Significance <sup>x</sup>	Q***	C**	Q**	Q***	Q***	Q***	C***	Q**

Table 1. Severity index of visible foliar injury for buddleia cultivars exposed to four levels of ozone.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup>Ozone treatment × cultivar interaction significant ( $p \le 0.001$ ). Severity index (SI) data were arc-sin transformed before analysis; retransformed mean values are presented. SI = (% of leaves injured expressed as a decimal) × (Horsfall-Barratt rating) × (% of population injured expressed as a decimal); higher SI indicates more visible injury.

<sup>3</sup>Mean separation within rows by Duncan's multiple range test,  $p \le 0.05$ .

'Q, C: Quadratic, or cubic response significant at  $p \le 0.01$  (\*\*) or 0.001 (\*\*\*).

tion was more severe than at lower concentrations with larger stipples and more leaves affected, resulting in an increased SI for all cultivars. The SI ranged from 1.28 for 'Opera' to 4.56 for 'Pink Delight' when exposed to 375 ppb  $O_3$ . Foliar injury was extensive and was visible within 24 hours of the first exposure on the most severely injured cultivars, 'Black Knight', 'Nanho Blue', 'Pink Delight', and 'Royal Red'. One week after the final exposure the most severely injured leaves on all cultivars were senescing.

These results agree with previous studies demonstrating intraspecific differences in visible foliar injury with acute O<sub>2</sub> exposure (2, 4, 6, 7). In addition, 'Black Knight' and 'Royal Red' were sensitive to both chronic (4) and acute O<sub>2</sub> exposures. However, 'Nanho Blue' and 'Pink Delight', which were relatively tolerant to chronic O<sub>3</sub> exposure (4), were sensitive to acute O<sub>2</sub> exposure. Our results support previous observations with other plants that sensitivity to chronic and acute O, exposures are not necessarily correlated (21). For example, Musselman et al. (1983) working with bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L. 'Red Kidney') reported that plants exposed to higher concentrations (acute exposure) exhibited more visible injury and less growth than plants exposed to a lower concentration at an equivalent dose. Acute O, exposures result in direct effects on metabolic processes such as injuring chloroplasts and direct denaturing of proteins. Chronic exposures result in indirect effects to metabolic processes, which can result in cumulative effects. These include membrane leakage, effects to stomatal apparatus, and increases in phenolic compounds for repair and defense (1, 21).

We also observed that plants exposed to the higher  $O_3$  concentrations were more likely to be attacked by spider mites (*Tetranychus urticae* Koch). Although these findings were purely observational, this apparent feeding preference for foliage exposed to ozone is similar to that reported with spi-



Fig. 1. Severity index rating (SI) of 'Black Knight' buddleia exposed to four ozone concentrations for one to five days, 4 hours daily.
SI = (% of leaves injured/100) × (Horsfall-Barratt rating) × (% of population injured/100).

der mites on white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.) and peanut (*Arachis hypogeae* L.) (8). This is an area that warrants further research.

*Exposure-response.* The effects of a 4-hour exposure to  $O_3$  for one to five days was evaluated using 'Black Knight', an  $O_3$ -sensitive cultivar. At the higher  $O_3$  concentrations, 250 and 375 ppb, visible injury was observed on both the oldest and recently matured leaves. At these concentrations, the most severely injured leaves had small, necrotic areas and stippling after four exposures, and the lower pairs of leaves senesced within one week after the last exposure. Early leaf senescence has been reported in other landscape plants exposed to  $O_3$  such as American beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) (18) and black cherry (*Prunus serotina* Ehrh.) (5).

The SI increased as both  $O_3$  concentration and number of exposures increased with the highest SI (6.28) observed for plants given the most exposures of the highest  $O_3$  concentration (Fig. 1). No visible injury was observed on plants given the most exposures to 0 ppb  $O_3$  for any number of episodes and only minor visible injury was observed on plants exposed to 125 ppb of  $O_3$  (SI of 0.0006 for one day to 0.06 for five days of exposure). The SI ratings were similar for plants exposed to 250 ppb of  $O_3$  for one or two days, as well as for plants exposed to 375 ppb of  $O_3$  for one day or 250 ppb of  $O_3$  for two or three days had similar SI ratings (SI of 0.60, 0.34, and 0.73, respectively). The SI also was similar for plants exposed to two days of 375 ppb of  $O_3$  or four days of 250 ppb of  $O_3$  ppb of  $O_3$  not plant be succeeded by the similar for plants exposed to two days of 375 ppb of  $O_3$  or four days of 250 ppb of  $O_3$  ppb of  $O_3$  not plant be succeeded by the similar for plants exposed to two days of 375 ppb of  $O_3$  or four days of 250 ppb of  $O_3$  for two or three days had similar SI ratings (SI of 0.60, 0.34, and 0.73, respectively). The SI also was similar for plants exposed to two days of 375 ppb of  $O_3$  or four days of 250 ppb of  $O_3$  (1.47 and 1.22, respectively).

For a specific ozone exposure, which is the product of  $O_3$  concentration and exposure duration (19), concentration was more important in inducing visible injury than number of exposures. No difference in SI was determined for plants exposed to 125 ppb of  $O_3$  for four days and plants exposed to 250 ppb of  $O_3$  for two days even though the total exposure was the same. However, the SI was higher for plants exposed for one day at 375 ppb of  $O_3$  (SI of 0.6) than for plants exposed to three days of 125 ppb of  $O_3$  (SI of 0.01). The SI was also higher for plants exposed to two days of 375 ppb of  $O_3$  (SI of 1.47) compared to three days of 250 ppb of  $O_3$  (SI of 0.73). This type of response has been reported for pinto beans (14) and tobacco (9), as well as elatior begonia (*Begonia semperflorens-cultorum* Hort.) (20).

These studies demonstrate that acute exposure to  $O_{1}$  can cause visible injury to buddleia cultivars. Visible foliar injury is particularly detrimental to the use of landscape plants since visual appearance is a primary attribute in selection and use. The most common injury symptom was stippling on the upper leaf surface. As injury increased, stipples coalesced to cover larger areas of the leaf surface. Exposure to O, for relatively short periods induced similar visible symptoms among all cultivars tested with various degrees of injury. Cultivars that appear most tolerant to elevated ozone concentrations include 'Empire Blue' and 'Opera', while 'Black Knight', 'Nanho Blue', 'Pink Delight', and 'Royal Red' appear more sensitive. Data collected in the O, exposure-response study indicate the importance of both concentration and number of exposures on visible injury. At concentrations currently found in urban areas of the southeastern U. S., injury from acute exposure to O, would be relatively minor, but after extended periods of time (chronic exposure) injury could become extensive (3).

## Literature Cited

1. Chappelka, A.H. and B.I. Chevone. 1992. Tree responses to ozone. p. 271-324. *In*: A.S. Lefohn (ed.). Surface Level Ozone Exposures and Their Effects on Vegetation. Lewis Publishers, Chelsea, MI.

2. Davis, D.D. and J.B. Coppolino. 1974. Relative ozone susceptibility of selected woody ornamentals. HortScience 9:537-539.

3. Davis, D.D., D.M. Umbach, and J.B. Coppolino. 1981. Susceptibility of tree and shrub species and response of black cherry foliage to ozone. Plant Dis. 65:904–907.

4. Findley, D.A., G.J. Keever, A.H. Chappelka, C.H. Gilliam, and D.J. Eakes. 1997. Ozone sensitivity of selected southeastern landscape plants. J. Environ. Hort. 15:51–55.

5. Fredericksen, T.S., J.M. Skelly, K.C. Steiner, T.E. Kolb, and K.B. Kouterick. 1996. Size-mediated foliar response to ozone in black cherry trees. Environ. Pollut. 91:53–63.

6. Genys, J.B. and H.E. Heggestad. 1978. Susceptibility of different species, clones and strains of pines to acute injury caused by ozone and sulfur dioxide. Plant Dis. Reptr. 62:687–691.

7. Gesalman, C.M. and D.D. Davis. 1978. Ozone susceptibility of ten azalea cultivars as related to stomatal frequency or conductance. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 103:489–491.

8. Heagle, A.S., R.L. Brandenburg, J.C. Burns, and J.E. Miller. 1994. Ozone and carbon dioxide effects on spider mites in white clover and peanut. J. Environ. Qual. 23:1168–1176.

9. Heck, W.W., J.A. Dunning, and I.J. Hindawi. 1966. Ozone nonlinear relationship of dose and injury on plants. Science 151:577–578.

10. Heck, W.W., R.B. Philbeck, and J.A. Dunning. 1978. A continuous stirred tank reactor (CSTR) system for exposing plants to gaseous air contaminants. USDA-ARS paper ARS-S-181.

11. Horsfall, J.G. and R.W. Barratt. 1945. An improved grading system for measuring plant diseases. Phytopathology 35:655.

12. Keller, T. 1988. Growth and premature leaf fall in American aspen as bioindicators for ozone. Environ. Pollut. 52:183–192.

13. Lefohn, A.S. 1992. The characterization of ambient ozone exposures. p. 31–92. *In*: A.S. Lefohn (ed.). Surface Level Ozone Exposures and Their Effects on Vegetation. Lewis Publishers, Chelsea, MI.

14. Maas, E.V., G.J. Hoffman, S.L. Rawlins, and G. Ogata. 1973. Salinityozone interactions on pinto bean: integrated response to ozone concentration and duration. J. Environ Qual. 2:400–404.

15. Manning, W.J. and S.V. Krupa. 1992. Experimental methodology for studying the effects of ozone on crops and trees. p. 93-156. *In*: A.S. Lefohn (ed.). Surface Level Ozone Exposures and Their Effects on Vegetation. Lewis Publishers, Chelsea, MI.

16. McLaughlin, S.B. 1985. Effects of air pollution on forests: a critical review. J. Air Pollut. Contr. Assoc. 35:512–534.

17. Middleton, J.T. 1956. Response of plants to air pollution. J. Air Pollut. Contr. Assoc. 6:7–9.

18. Mikkelsen, T.N. and H.S. Heide-Jorgensen. 1996. Acceleration of leaf senescence in *Fagus sylvatica* L. by low levels of tropospheric ozone demonstrated by leaf colour, chlorophyll fluorescence and chloroplast ultrastructure. Trees 10:145–156.

19. Musselman, R.C., R.J. Oshima, and R.E. Gallavan. 1983. Significance of pollutant concentration distribution in response of 'Red Kidney' beans to ozone. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 108:347–351.

20. Reinert, R.A. and P.V. Nelson. 1979. Sensitivity and growth of twelve elatior begonia cultivars to ozone. HortScience 14:747-749.

21. Runeckles, V.C. and B.I. Chevone. 1992. Crop responses to ozone. p. 189–270. *In*: A.S. Lefohn (ed.). Surface Level Ozone Exposures and Their Effects on Vegetation. Lewis Publishers, Chelsea, MI.

22. SAS Institute. 1989. SAS/SAT User's Guide, release 6.03. SAS Institute, Cary, NC.

23. U.S. EPA. 1996. Air Quality Criteria for Ozone and other Photochemical Oxidants. EPA-600/P-93/004aF, Natl. Ctr. Environ. Assess., Research Triangle Park, NC.