

This Journal of Environmental Horticulture article is reproduced with the consent of the Horticultural Research Institute (HRI – <u>www.hriresearch.org</u>), which was established in 1962 as the research and development affiliate of the American Nursery & Landscape Association (ANLA – <u>http://www.anla.org</u>).

### HRI's Mission:

To direct, fund, promote and communicate horticultural research, which increases the quality and value of ornamental plants, improves the productivity and profitability of the nursery and landscape industry, and protects and enhances the environment.

The use of any trade name in this article does not imply an endorsement of the equipment, product or process named, nor any criticism of any similar products that are not mentioned.

# Effect of Localized Etiolation of Stock Plants on the Rooting of Rhododendron Cuttings<sup>1</sup>

Tim D. Davis<sup>2</sup> and John R. Potter<sup>3</sup>

USDA-ARS, Horticultural Crops Research Laboratory 3420 N.W. Orchard Ave., Corvallis, OR 97330

#### Abstract –

The basal portions (excluding leaves) of newly expanding shoots on stock plants of 11 Rhododendron cultivars were wrapped with aluminum foil to exclude light. After 11 to 14 weeks, cuttings were made by severing the shoots just above the base of the etiolated region. For 2 cultivars ('Corsage' and 'Ramapo'), this localized etiolation of stock plants accelerated the development of adventitious roots and decreased the time to obtain well-rooted cuttings.

Index words: light, adventitious root formation, propagation

#### Introduction

Etiolation has been used successfully as a preseverance treatment of stock plants to increase subsequent rooting of cuttings in a number of different species (1, 2. 3. 4). Rooting of *Rhododendron* cuttings may be affected by light incident on the stems, because continuous light during the in vitro culture of Rhododendron stem explants inhibited rooting, while culture in darkness promoted rooting (7, 8). In addition, dense shading of Rhododendron stock plants increased rooting of leaf petiole cuttings (5). The present investigation was undertaken to determine if localized etiolation of Rhododendron stock plants had an effect on the rooting of cuttings.

#### **Materials and Methods**

In May and June of 1980, stems of 11 Rhododendron cultivars were wrapped with aluminum foil from the base of the current season's growth to the first leaf node. For each cultivar, wrapping took place after bud break as soon as it was possible to cover the elongating

Received for publication July 12, 1983. Published as Technical Paper No. 6858 of the Oregon Agricultural Experiment Station.

<sup>2</sup>Former Research Assistant, Department of Horticulture, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331; presently, Assistant Professor of Horticulture, Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT 84602. 'Research Plant Physiologist.

herbaceous stems. Table 1 gives the treatment details for each of the 11 cultivars. All cultivars were grown in full sun except 'Coral Bells' which was grown in the shade of a north facing wall. At the time of excision, the shoots, which were semi-woody and had ceased elongat $\exists$ ing, were severed just above the base of the etiolated region. The shoots were given a basal dip of 0.3% in 2 dole-3-butyric acid (IBA) in an inert powder carrie (Hormodin 2) and rooted in a peat-perlite medium (1: $\vec{E}$ by volume) without bottom heat under intermittent mist in a greenhouse. Unwrapped shoots were treated in the same manner and served as controls. Day/night tem peratures during rooting were about 25/20 °C (77/68 °F) and maximum photosynthetic photon flux density was about 300 uE•m<sup>-2</sup>•s<sup>-1</sup> (approx. 1500 foot-candles). Roog development was monitored by periodic examination of several cuttings from each treatment/cultivar, and rook development was recorded after most of the cuttings in a treatment/cultivar had rooted or after the slow-toroot cuttings began to deteriorate. For 'Ramapo' and 'Corsage' the experiment was repeated in 1981, except that rooting was evaluated after 5 and 13 weeks. Both cultivars were wrapped on May 6 using the same amount of foil as used in 1980, and cuttings were excised on August 3. Rootball diameter was the average distance across the rootball when the rooted cutting was lifted from the rooting medium, and rootball depth was the distance downward to which the rootball penetrated the rooting medium.

Table 1. Treatment details for localized e	etiolation of stock plants of 11	Rhododendron cultivars in 1980.
--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------

Cultivar	Length of shoot wrapped (cm)	Date wrapped	Date excised	Duration of rooting period (weeks)
Corsage	2-3	May 2	Aug. 6	5
Ramapo	1-2	May 9	Aug. 6	5
Martha Hitchcock	2-4	May 14	Aug. 8	4
Parsons Gloriosum	5-9	May 16	Aug. 11	17
Unique	4-5	May 16	Aug. 11	22
Coral Bells	2-4	May 26	Aug. 15	5
Jean Marie de Montague	7-10	June 6	Sept. 8	35
Blue Ensign	4-8	June 11	Sept. 9	26
Caroline	4-8	June 11	Sept. 9	22
Roseum Elegans	3-6	June 23	Sept. 10	13
Sappho	3-8	June 23	Sept. 10	17

		Rooting parameter		
Cultivar/treatment	Sample size	Ø70	<b>Rootball diameter</b>	Rootball depth
		rooting	(cm)	(cm)
'Corsage'				
control, 1980	25	48	$0.9 \pm .28$	$1.2 \pm .34$
etiolated, 1980	25	80 <sup>y</sup>	$1.2 \pm .25$	$1.3 \pm .30$
	30			
control, 1981	30	20	$0.6 \pm .33$	$0.7 \pm .41$
after 5 weeks		20 93	not obtained	$0.7 \pm .41$ not obtained
after 13 weeks	30	93	not obtained	not obtained
etiolated, 1981 after 5 weeks	30	37	1.4 + .30	$1.4 \pm .28$
		86	not obtained	not obtained
after 13 weeks		80	not obtained	not obtained
Ramapo'				
control, 1980	28	50	$0.2 \pm .09$	$0.3 \pm .13$
etiolated, 1980	28	93y	$0.2 \pm .09$ $0.7 \pm .10$	$0.8 \pm 13$
,		15	0.7 ± .10	0.0 ± .15
control, 1981	37			
after 5 weeks		59	$0.6 \pm .14$	$0.6 \pm .12$
after 13 weeks	<b>r</b> =	100	not obtained	not obtained
etiolated, 1981	37			
after 5 weeks		89 <sup>y</sup>	$1.6 \pm .18$	$1.4 \pm .15$
after 13 weeks		97	not obtained	not obtained
Martha Hitchcock'				
control	15	100	$1.4 \pm .29$	1.5 + .27
etiolated	15	93	$1.7 \pm .34$	$1.6 \pm .31$
Parsons Gloriosum'				
control	10	90	$3.4 \pm .46$	rootballs reached bottom
etiolated	10	100	$\textbf{3.3}\pm\textbf{.58}$	of flat in both treatments
Unique'				
control	15	67	$2.9 \pm .52$	rootballs reached bottom
etiolated	15	73	$2.1 \pm .43$	of flat in both treatments
••••••				
Coral Bells'				
control	16	100	$1.7 \pm .12$	$1.8 \pm .17$
etiolated	16	100	$2.1 \pm .15$	$1.7 \pm .17$
Jean Marie de Montague'				
control	10	all cutt	ings poorly rooted regardless	of treatment
etiolated	10	an eattings poorty rooted regardless of treatment		
choluted	10			
Blue Ensign'				
control	23	9		poorly formed rootballs
etiolated	23	9		$\begin{array}{c} 0.3 \pm .13 \\ 0.8 \pm .13 \\ \hline 0.6 \pm .12 \\ \text{not obtained} \\ 1.4 \pm .15 \\ \text{not obtained} \\ \hline 1.5 \pm .27 \\ 1.6 \pm .31 \\ \hline rootballs reached bottom of flat in both treatments \\ \hline rootballs reached bottom of flat in both treatments \\ \hline 1.8 \pm .17 \\ 1.7 \pm .17 \\ \hline rootballs reached bottom of the treatment \\ \hline 1.8 \pm .17 \\ 1.7 \pm .17 \\ \hline rootballs reached rootballs \\ \hline 1.8 \pm .17 \\ 1.7 \pm .17 \\ \hline rootballs reached rootballs \\ \hline 1.8 \pm .17 \\ 1.7 \pm .17 \\ \hline rootballs reached rootballs \\ \hline 1.8 \pm .17 \\ 1.7 \pm .17 \\ \hline rootballs reached rootballs \\ \hline 1.8 \pm .17 \\ \hline 1.7 \pm .17 \\ \hline rootballs reached rootballs \\ \hline 1.8 \pm .17 \\ \hline 1.7 \pm .17 \\ \hline rootballs reached rootballs \\ \hline rootballs rootballs \\ \hline rootballs reached rootballs \\ \hline rootballs rootballs \\ \hline rootballs rootballs \\ \hline rootballs rootballs \\ \hline rootballs rootballs rootballs \\ \hline rootballs rootballs \\ \hline rootballs rootballs \\ \hline rootballs rootballs \\ \hline roo$
Caroline'				
Caroline'	14	40	1 0 + 46	rootballs reached bottom
control	16	69 63	$1.9 \pm .46$ $1.7 \pm .26$	
etiolated	16	63	$1./\pm.20$	of flat in both treatments
Roseum Elegans'				rootballs reached bottom
control	19	89	$2.7 \pm .32$	rootballs reached bottom
etiolated	19	95	$\textbf{2.3}\pm.\textbf{26}$	of flat in both treatments
Sampa'				
Sappho' control	10	90	3.7 ± .48	rootballs reached bottom
etiolated	10	60		of flat in both treatments
enoiated	10	00	$4.8 \pm .91$	of that in both treatments

## Table 2. Effects of localized etiolation of stock plants on the rooting of 11 Rhododendron cultivars. Rootball diameter and depth means were calculated considering only rooted cuttings.<sup>z</sup>

 $^{z} \pm$  values indicate standard error of the mean.

<sup>y</sup>Etiolated treatment rooting percentage significantly higher than corresponding control rooting percentage at the 5% level of probability.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Localized etiolation of stem bases increased early rooting percentages of only 2 of the 11 cultivars. Etiolation increased the rooting percentage at 5 weeks of 'Ramapo' from 50 to 93% in 1980 and from 59 to 89% in 1981, and it increased the rooting percentage of 'Corsage' from 48 to 80% in 1980 and from 20 to 37% in 1981 (Table 2). The reason for the relatively poor rooting of 5 week-old 'Corsage' cuttings in 1981 is unknown. Etiolation reduced the time required to form roots rather than influencing the long term rooting capacity of cuttings, because after 13 weeks on the cutting bench in 1981, etiolation had no effect on the rooting percentage.

At 5 weeks the rootball size of 'Ramapo' and 'Corsage' cuttings was also enhanced by localized etiolation (Table 2). Rootball diameter and depth of 'Ramapo' cuttings were 2 or 3 times greater in the etiolated treatments than in the controls in both years, probably due to the ease of rooting of the etiolated cuttings. Differences in rootball size were less pronounced in 'Corsage' cuttings but were still evident in the 1981 data. Rootball diameters at 13 weeks were not obtained because the root systems were intertwined. Rootball size of cuttings from the other 9 cultivars was not affected by etiolation.

The mode by which localized etiolation of the stock plants increased rooting of 'Ramapo' and 'Corsage' cuttings is not known. It has been suggested that etiolation improves rooting by increasing the herbaceous nature of the rooting region (1). Herman and Hess (4) reported that etiolated stems were relatively herbaceous because they had thinner cell walls, more parenchyma cells, and more undifferentiated tissue than stems which developed in the light. In the present investigation, wrapping the basal portion of the shoots resulted in a whitish band of tissue characteristic of etiolation. This band was especially apparent on 'Ramapo,' the cultivar showing the greatest treatment effect. Etiolation may also increase the auxin content of stems and thereby enhance rooting (4, 6). Because our cuttings were treated with exogenous auxin, it is questionable whether or not endogenous auxin greatly influenced our observations.

#### Significance to the Nursery Industry

While wrapping stems with aluminum foil is a laborintensive procedure, it significantly decreased the time to obtain well-rooted cuttings of the *Rhododendron* cultivars of 'Ramapo' and 'Corsage.' However, the rooting of 9 other *Rhododendron* cultivars was not affected by localized etiolation.

#### Literature Cited

1. Biran, I. and A.H. Halevy. 1973. Stock plant shading and rooting of dahlia cuttings. Scientia Hort. 1:125-131.

2. Delargy, J.A. and C.E. Wright. 1979. Root formation in cuttings of apple in relation to auxin application and to etiolation. New Phytol. 82:341-347.

3. Gardner, F.E. 1937. Etiolation as a method of rooting apple apple available to the state of t

4. Herman, D.E. and C.E. Hess. 1963. The effect of etiolation upon the rooting of cuttings. Proc. Intern. Plant Prop. Soc. 13:42-62.

5. Johnson, C.R. and A.N. Roberts. 1971. The effect of shading *Rhododendron* stock plants on flowering and rooting. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 96:166-168.

6. Kawase, M. 1965. Etiolation and rooting in cuttings. Physiol. Plant 18:1066-1076.

7. Pierik, R.L.M. 1969. Factors affecting adventitious root formation in isolated stem segments of *Rhododendron*. Neth. J. Agric. Sci. 2017:203-208.

8. Pierik, R.L.M. and H.H.M. Steegmans. 1975. Analysis of adventitious root formation in isolated stem explants of *Rhododen-dron*. Scientia Hort. 3:1-20.